ARTICLE IV

THE JUDICIARY

Sec. 1. The several sums of money herein specified, or so much thereby as may be necessary, are appropriated out of any funds in the State Treasury not otherwise appropriated, or out of special funds as indicated, for the support, maintenance, or improvement of the judiciary and other judicial service units of the state.

SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS

		A	For the Yea August 31, 2006			
	Method of Financing: General Revenue Fund	\$	5,597,179	\$	5,597,179	
	Other Funds					
*	Judicial Fund No. 573 Interagency Contracts		16,097,333 2,500,000		7,558,500 2,500,000	
	Subtotal, Other Funds	\$	18,597,333	\$	10,058,500	
	Total, Method of Financing	\$	24,194,512	\$	15,655,679	
	This bill pattern represents an estimated 99.7% of this agency's estimated total available funds for the biennium.					
	Number of Full-Time-Equivalents (FTE):		63.5		63.5	
*	Schedule of Exempt Positions: Chief Justice Justice		\$152,500 (8) 150,000		\$152,500 (8) 150,000	
	Items of Appropriation: A. Goal: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS A.1.1. Strategy: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS	\$	4,319,512	\$	4,430,679	
**	A.1.2. Strategy: BASIC CIVIL LEGAL SERVICES	\$	19,875,000	\$	& UB 11,225,000 & UB	
	Total, Goal A: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS	\$	24,194,512	\$	15,655,679	
	Grand Total, SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS	\$	24,194,512	\$	15,655,679	
	Object-of-Expense Informational Listing: Salaries and Wages Other Personnel Costs Professional Fees and Services	\$	4,018,588 25,000 30,000	\$	4,129,755 25,000 30,000	
	Consumable Supplies Travel Rent - Machine and Other		35,000 52,000 55,000		35,000 52,000 55,000	
	Other Operating Expense Grants		103,924 19,875,000		103,924 11,225,000	
	Total, Object-of-Expense Informational Listing	\$	24,194,512	\$	15,655,679	
	Estimated Allocations for Employee Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made Elsewhere in this Act:					
	Employee Benefits Retirement Group Insurance	\$	166,055 434,761	\$	169,377 466,146	

^{*}Modified by Article IV, Special Provisions, Section 16 and the passage of House Bill 11, Second Called Session relating to judicial compensation, which added Other Funds (Judicial Fund 573) of \$222,333 in fiscal year 2006 and \$333,500 in fiscal year 2007.

^{**} Modified by Article IX, Section 14.01 and the passage of Senate Bill 1863, Regular Session which added \$1.5 million General Revenue each fiscal year.

SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS

(Continued)

Social Security	268,427	273,796
Benefits Replacement	19,526	18,550
Subtotal, Employee Benefits	\$ 888,769	\$ 927,869
Debt Service Lease Payments	\$ 681,527	\$ 680,851
Total, Estimated Allocations for Employee Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made Elsewhere in this Act	\$ 1,570,296	\$ 1.608.720

1. **Performance Measure Targets.** The following is a listing of the key performance target levels for the Supreme Court of Texas. It is the intent of the Legislature that appropriations made by this Act be utilized in the most efficient and effective manner possible to achieve the intended mission of the Supreme Court of Texas. In order to achieve the objectives and service standards established by this Act, the Supreme Court of Texas shall make every effort to attain the following designated key performance target levels associated with each item of appropriation.

	2006	2007
A. Goal: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS		
Outcome (Results/Impact):		
Disposition Rate	105%	105%
A.1.1. Strategy: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS		
Efficiencies:		
Average Number of Days since Filing of All Matters		
Pending in the Supreme Court	100	100

2. Appropriation: Basic Civil Legal Services. All fees deposited into the Basic Civil Legal Services Account of the Judicial Fund are appropriated above in Strategy A.1.2, Basic Civil Legal Services. Any fees deposited in excess of \$7,225,000 in fiscal year 2006 and \$7,225,000 in fiscal year 2007 are hereby appropriated to the Supreme Court for the same purpose (estimated to be \$0). Any unexpended balances in the Basic Civil Legal Services Account at the end of fiscal year 2005 are hereby appropriated to the Supreme Court in fiscal year 2006 for the same purpose (estimated to be \$8,650,000 and included in amounts appropriated above).

The Supreme Court of Texas shall file a report with the Legislative Budget Board and the Governor within 90 days following February 28 and August 31 of each fiscal year showing disbursements from the Basic Civil Legal Services Account of Judicial Fund No. 573, the purpose for each disbursement, and compliance with grant conditions.

- **3. Equalization.** It is the intent of the Legislature that the Supreme Court equalize the dockets of the 14 Courts of Appeals. Equalization shall be considered achieved if the new cases filed each year per justice are equalized by 10 percent or less among all the courts of appeals.
- **4. Baseline for Fiscal Years 2008-09.** It is the intent of the Legislature for the Supreme Court to use the balance of local funds collected under Government Code § 51.006 for appellate court operations during fiscal years 2006-07 (estimated to be \$26,000 in balances held outside the state treasury). Use of these funds will reduce the license fund balance to the minimum required for continued operations authorized under Government Code § 51.006. It is the intent of the Legislature that the Eightieth Legislature address this method of finance.
- 5. Special Masters: State Commission on Judicial Conduct. The appropriations to the State Commission on Judicial Conduct reflect the intent of the Legislature to encourage the Supreme Court of Texas to appoint active judges rather than retired judges as special masters in formal proceedings initiated by the State Commission on Judicial Conduct under Rule 10 of the Procedural Rules for the Removal or Retirement of Judges.

COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

		 For the Ye August 31, 2006	Ending August 31, 2007
Method of Financir General Revenue Fur		\$ 4,230,436	\$ 4,230,435
Other Funds Judicial and Court Pe * Judicial Fund No. 57	ersonnel Training Fund No. 540	9,775,755 222,333	8,883,755 333,500
Subtotal, Other F	unds	\$ 9,998,088	\$ 9,217,255
Total, Method of Fi	nancing	\$ 14,228,524	\$ 13,447,690
	oresents an estimated 100% timated total available ium.		
Number of Full-Tin	ne-Equivalents (FTE):	70.0	70.0
* Schedule of Exem Presiding Judge Judge	ot Positions:	\$152,500 (8) 150,000	\$152,500 (8) 150,000
	tion: E COURT OPERATIONS gy: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS	\$ 4,452,769	\$ 4,563,935 & UB
B. Goal: JUDICIAL E B.1.1. Strate	DUCATION gy: JUDICIAL EDUCATION	\$ 9,775,755	\$ 8,883,755
Grand Tota	al, COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS	\$ 14,228,524	\$ 13,447,690
Object-of-Expense Salaries and Wages Other Personnel Cost Consumable Supplies Travel Rent - Machine and O Other Operating Exp Grants	Other	\$ 4,376,907 89,840 15,500 10,150 40,100 101,554 9,594,473	4,488,073 39,840 15,500 10,150 40,100 101,554 8,752,473
Total, Object-of-Ex	pense Informational Listing	\$ 14,228,524	\$ 13,447,690
	ons for Employee Benefits and Debt ions Made Elsewhere in this Act:		
Employee Benefits Retirement Group Insurance Social Security Benefits Replacemen	t	\$ 177,235 458,577 289,121 24,406	180,780 492,074 294,904 23,185
	ee Benefits	949,339	\$ 990,943
Debt Service Lease Payments		\$ 560,414	\$ 559,858
Benefits and De	d Allocations for Employee bt Service Appropriations Made is Act	\$ 1,509,753	\$ 1,550,801

^{*}Modified by Article IV, Special Provisions, Section 16 and the passage of House Bill 11, Second Called Session relating to judicial compensation, which added Other Funds (Judicial Fund 573) of \$222,333 in fiscal year 2006 and \$333,500 in fiscal year 2007.

COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

(Continued)

1. Performance Measure Targets. The following is a listing of the key performance target levels for the Court of Criminal Appeals. It is the intent of the Legislature that appropriations made by this Act be utilized in the most efficient and effective manner possible to achieve the intended mission of the Court of Criminal Appeals. In order to achieve the objectives and service standards established by this Act, the Court of Criminal Appeals shall make every effort to attain the following designated key performance target levels associated with each item of appropriation.

	2006	2007
A. Goal: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS		
Outcome (Results/Impact):		
Disposition Rate for Petitions for Discretionary Review		
Which Are Granted	79%	79%
Disposition Rate for Death Penalty Cases	43%	43%
A.1.1. Strategy: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS		
Efficiencies:		
Average Time (in Days) from the Time Petitions for		
Discretionary Review Are Granted until Disposition	282	282
Average Time from Time Filed to Disposition in Death		
Penalty Cases	638	638

2. Judicial Education.

- a. The Court of Criminal Appeals may assign to the Office of Court Administration or to any other agency of the Judicial Branch the necessary administrative and accounting functions for the Judicial and Court Personnel Training Fund appropriation included in this Act to be performed under the direction of the Court of Criminal Appeals in compliance with Government Code, Chapter 56. To implement this provision, the Comptroller is authorized to transfer the appropriation from the Court of Criminal Appeals to the Office of Court Administration, or to any other agency of the Judicial Branch, as directed by order of the Court of Criminal Appeals. Of the amount appropriated for Strategy B.1.1, Judicial Education, \$475,000 in fiscal year 2006 and \$475,000 in fiscal year 2007 shall be expended for the continuing legal education of judges of county courts performing judicial functions.
- b. None of the funds appropriated above in Strategy B.1.1, Judicial Education, in excess of 3 percent of the appropriated amount in any fiscal year shall be expended for the administration of the judicial education function. For the purposes of this provision, the term administration shall include, but not be limited to, administrative oversight functions, accounting and auditing functions, management studies, performance audits, and other studies initiated by the Court of Criminal Appeals or the Office of Court Administration.
- c. Funds expended by either the Court of Criminal Appeals or the Office of Court Administration, out of the appropriation made above out of the Judicial and Court Personnel Training Fund, for the purpose of conducting management studies, performance audits, or other studies, shall be expended only in accordance with a competitive bidding process.

3. Continuing Education and Technical Assistance for Prosecutors and Criminal Defense Attorneys.

- a. The Court of Criminal Appeals is authorized to contract with a statewide professional association of prosecuting attorneys and other entities whose purposes include providing continuing legal education courses, programs and technical assistance projects for prosecutors and prosecutor office personnel, provided, however, that such contract shall not exceed \$1,400,000 in fiscal year 2006 and \$1,400,000 in fiscal year 2007.
- b. The Court of Criminal Appeals is authorized to contract with a statewide professional association of criminal defense attorneys and other entities whose purposes include providing continuing legal education courses, programs and technical assistance projects for criminal defense attorneys who regularly represent indigent defendants in criminal matters, provided, however, that such contract shall not exceed \$1,250,000 in fiscal year 2006 and \$1,250,000 in fiscal year 2007.
- c. Funds may be expended pursuant to this provision only out of the appropriation made above out of the Judicial and Court Personnel Training Fund No. 540.

COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

(Continued)

- **4. Judicial Education: Reimbursement for Travel Expenses.** Funds appropriated above in Strategy B.1.1, Judicial Education, for the purposes established in § 56.003(b) of the Government Code, may be granted only pursuant to a grant contract which provides for the reimbursement of expenses of judges pursuant to the provisions of § 74.062 of the Government Code. This provision shall not apply to funds granted for the purpose of providing continuing legal education for judges of county courts performing judicial functions.
- **5. Judicial and Court Personnel Training Report.** The Court of Criminal Appeals shall file a report with the Legislative Budget Board and the Governor within 90 days following February 28 and August 31 of each fiscal year showing the allocation of grants and expenditures from Judicial and Court Personnel Training Fund No. 540, and the results of grant audits.
- 6. Appropriation: Refunds of Unexpended Balances from Training Entities. The Court of Criminal Appeals shall maintain procedures to ensure that the state is refunded all unexpended and unencumbered state funds held at the close of fiscal year 2005 and fiscal year 2006 by training entities receiving grants to conduct judicial and court personnel training. Refunds received by the Court of Criminal Appeals in fiscal year 2006 from training entities are appropriated above in Strategy B.1.1, Judicial Education (not to exceed \$842,000 in fiscal year 2006 out of Judicial and Court Personnel Training Account No. 540.) In addition, under Article IX, § 8.03 of this Act, the Court of Criminal Appeals is authorized to spend an amount not to exceed \$1,082,000 from refunds received from training entities in fiscal year 2007 for grants awarded in fiscal year 2006.
- 7. Judicial and Court Personnel Training. Out of funds appropriated above in Strategy B.1.1, Judicial Education, a minimum of \$1,000,000 per fiscal year is designated for the Court of Criminal Appeals to contract with training entities providing for the training and continuing legal education of the clerks and other court personnel of the appellate courts, district courts, county courts at law, county courts, justice courts, and municipal courts of this State in accordance with Government Code § 74.025.
- 8. Actual Innocence Training. Out of funds appropriated above in Strategy B.1.1, Judicial Education, an amount not to exceed \$150,000 in fiscal year 2006 and an amount not to exceed \$150,000 in fiscal year 2007 shall be used by the Court of Criminal Appeals to contract with statewide professional associations and other entities whose purposes include providing continuing legal education courses, programs, and technical assistance projects on actual innocence for criminal defense attorneys, prosecuting attorneys, and judges. Any unexpended balances of these funds remaining as of August 31, 2006 are hereby appropriated to the Court of Criminal Appeals for the fiscal year beginning September 1, 2006 for the same purpose.
- 9. Appropriation: Unexpended Balance Authority Between Biennia and Within the Biennium for Judicial Education, Administrative Allocation. All unexpended balances of funds appropriated to Strategy B.1.1, Judicial Education, at the end of fiscal year 2005 are appropriated to Strategy B.1.1, Judicial Education in fiscal year 2006 (not to exceed \$50,000 in Judicial and Court Personnel Training Fund No. 540, and included in amounts appropriated above). Further, all unexpended balances of funds appropriated to Strategy B.1.1, Judicial Education, at the end of fiscal year 2006 are appropriated to Strategy B.1.1, Judicial Education in fiscal year 2007 (not to exceed \$100,000 in Judicial and Court Personnel Training Fund No. 540).

FIRST COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, HOUSTON

	For the Year August 31, 2006			Ending August 31, 2007
			_	2007
Method of Financing:				
General Revenue Fund	\$	2,953,601	\$	2,953,599
Judicial Fund No. 573		182,233		273,350
Total, Method of Financing	\$	3,135,834	\$	3,226,949
This bill pattern represents an estimated 91.2% of this agency's estimated total available funds for the biennium.				
Number of Full-Time-Equivalents (FTE):		43.5		43.5
Schedule of Exempt Positions:				
Chief Justice		\$140,000		\$140,000
Justice		(8) 137,500		(8) 137,500
Items of Appropriation:				
A. Goal: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS				
A.1.1. Strategy: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS	\$	3,135,834	\$	3,226,949 & UB
Grand Total, FIRST COURT OF APPEALS				
DISTRICT, HOUSTON_	\$	3,135,834	\$	3,226,949
Object-of-Expense Informational Listing:	ф	2 027 062	Ф	2 020 070
Salaries and Wages	\$	2,937,963	\$	3,029,079
Other Personnel Costs		19,841		19,841
Consumable Supplies Travel		3,231		3,231
		4,000		4,000
Rent - Building Rent - Machine and Other		12,180		12,180
Other Operating Expense		3,000 155,619		3,000 155,618
Total, Object-of-Expense Informational Listing	\$	3,135,834	\$	3,226,949
Estimated Allocations for Employee Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made Elsewhere in this Act:				
Employee Benefits				
Retirement	\$	101,460	\$	103,489
Group Insurance		238,760		253,918
Social Security		179,800		183,396
Benefits Replacement		8,341		7,924
Subtotal, Employee Benefits	\$	528,361	\$	548,727
Total, Estimated Allocations for Employee				
Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made				
Elsewhere in this Act	\$	528,361	\$	548,727

1. Performance Measure Targets. The following is a listing of the key performance target levels for the First Court of Appeals District, Houston. It is the intent of the Legislature that appropriations made by this Act be utilized in the most efficient and effective manner possible to achieve the intended mission of the First Court of Appeals District, Houston. In order to achieve the objectives and service standards established by this Act, the First Court of Appeals District, Houston shall make every effort to attain the following designated key performance target levels associated with each item of appropriation.

	2006	2007
A. Goal: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS		
Outcome (Results/Impact):		
Clearance Rate	100%	100%
Average Percent of Cases Submitted but Not Disposed		
within 12 Months	0%	0%
Average Percent of Cases Filed but Not Disposed within		
24 Months	0%	0%

^{*}Modified by Article IV, Special Provisions, Section 16 and the passage of House Bill 11, Second Called Session relating to judicial compensation, which added Other Funds (Judicial Fund 573) of \$182,233 in fiscal year 2006 and \$273,350 in fiscal year 2007.

SECOND COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, FORT WORTH

	 For the Ye august 31, 2006	ars l	Ending August 31, 2007
Method of Financing: General Revenue Fund Judicial Fund No. 573	\$ 2,288,557 142,033	\$	2,288,557 213,050
Total, Method of Financing	\$ 2,430,590	\$	2,501,607
This bill pattern represents an estimated 89% of this agency's estimated total available funds for the biennium.			
Number of Full-Time-Equivalents (FTE):	39.0		39.0
* Schedule of Exempt Positions: Chief Justice Justice	\$140,000 (6) 137,500		\$140,000 (6) 137,500
Items of Appropriation: A. Goal: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS * A.1.1. Strategy: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS	\$ 2,430,590	\$	2,501,607 & UB
Grand Total, SECOND COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, FORT WORTH	\$ 2,430,590	\$	2,501,607
Object-of-Expense Informational Listing: Salaries and Wages Other Personnel Costs Consumable Supplies Travel Rent - Building Other Operating Expense	\$ 2,338,087 17,080 15,000 14,000 60 46,363	\$	2,409,104 18,760 15,000 14,000 60 44,683
Total, Object-of-Expense Informational Listing	\$ 2,430,590	\$	2,501,607
Estimated Allocations for Employee Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made Elsewhere in this Act:			
Employee Benefits Retirement Group Insurance Social Security Benefits Replacement	\$ 87,775 244,456 153,998 11,584	\$	89,531 261,523 157,078 11,005
Subtotal, Employee Benefits	\$ 497,813	\$	519,137
Total, Estimated Allocations for Employee Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made Elsewhere in this Act	\$ 497,813	\$	519,137

1. Performance Measure Targets. The following is a listing of the key performance target levels for the Second Court of Appeals District, Fort Worth. It is the intent of the Legislature that appropriations made by this Act be utilized in the most efficient and effective manner possible to achieve the intended mission of the Second Court of Appeals District, Fort Worth. In order to achieve the objectives and service standards established by this Act, the Second Court of Appeals District, Fort Worth shall make every effort to attain the following designated key performance target levels associated with each item of appropriation.

	2006	2007
A. Goal: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS		
Outcome (Results/Impact):		
Clearance Rate	100%	100%
Average Percent of Cases Submitted but Not Disposed		
within 12 Months	0%	0%
Average Percent of Cases Filed but Not Disposed within		
24 Months	0%	0%

^{*}Modified by Article IV, Special Provisions, Section 16 and the passage of House Bill 11, Second Called Session relating to judicial compensation, which added Other Funds (Judicial Fund 573) of \$142,033 in fiscal year 2006 and \$213,050 in fiscal year 2007.

THIRD COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, AUSTIN

	A	For the Ye august 31, 2006	ars _	Ending August 31, 2007
Method of Financing: General Revenue Fund Judicial Fund No. 573	\$	2,027,760 121,933	\$	2,027,760 182,900
Total, Method of Financing	\$	2,149,693	\$	2,210,660
This bill pattern represents an estimated 98.7% of this agency's estimated total available funds for the biennium.				
Number of Full-Time-Equivalents (FTE):		30.0		30.0
Schedule of Exempt Positions: Chief Justice Justice		\$140,000 (5) 137,500		\$140,000 (5) 137,500
Items of Appropriation: A. Goal: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS A.1.1. Strategy: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS	\$	2,149,693	\$	2,210,660 & UB
Grand Total, THIRD COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, AUSTIN	\$	2,149,693	\$	2,210,660
Object-of-Expense Informational Listing: Salaries and Wages Other Personnel Costs Professional Fees and Services Consumable Supplies Utilities Travel Rent - Building Other Operating Expense Capital Expenditures	\$	2,013,261 11,100 475 9,847 1,200 1,500 8,500 60,310 43,500	\$	2,074,228 11,100 475 9,847 1,200 1,500 8,500 60,310 43,500
Total, Object-of-Expense Informational Listing	\$	2,149,693	\$	2,210,660
Estimated Allocations for Employee Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made Elsewhere in this Act:				
Employee Benefits Retirement Group Insurance Social Security Benefits Replacement	\$	73,921 189,038 135,503 11,010		75,400 202,318 138,213 10,459
Subtotal, Employee Benefits	\$	409,472	\$	426,390
Debt Service Lease Payments	\$	524,859	\$	524,339
Total, Estimated Allocations for Employee Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made Elsewhere in this Act	\$	934,331	\$	950,729

1. Performance Measure Targets. The following is a listing of the key performance target levels for the Third Court of Appeals District, Austin. It is the intent of the Legislature that appropriations made by this Act be utilized in the most efficient and effective manner possible to achieve the intended mission of the Third Court of Appeals District, Austin. In order to achieve the objectives and service standards established by this Act, the Third Court of Appeals District, Austin shall make every effort to attain the following designated key performance target levels associated with each item of appropriation.

A223-FSize-up-4 IV-8 September 15, 2005

^{*}Modified by Article IV, Special Provisions, Section 16 and the passage of House Bill 11, Second Called Session relating to judicial compensation, which added Other Funds (Judicial Fund 573) of \$121,933 in fiscal year 2006 and \$182,900 in fiscal year 2007.

THIRD COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, AUSTIN

(Continued)

	2006	2007
A. Goal: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS		
Outcome (Results/Impact):		
Clearance Rate	100%	100%
Average Percent of Cases Submitted but Not Disposed		
within 12 Months	0%	0%
Average Percent of Cases Filed but Not Disposed within		
24 Months	0%	0%

FOURTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, SAN ANTONIO

		<u> </u>	For the Yeaugust 31, 2006	ears _	Ending August 31, 2007
*	Method of Financing: General Revenue Fund Judicial Fund No. 573	\$	2,196,952 142,033	\$	2,196,951 213,050
	Total, Method of Financing	\$	2,338,985	\$	2,410,001
	This bill pattern represents an estimated 87.6% of this agency's estimated total available funds for the biennium.				
	Number of Full-Time-Equivalents (FTE):		34.0		34.0
*	Schedule of Exempt Positions: Chief Justice Justice		\$140,000 (6) 137,500		\$140,000 (6) 137,500
*	Items of Appropriation: A. Goal: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS A.1.1. Strategy: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS	\$	2,338,985	\$	2,410,001 & UB
	Grand Total, FOURTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, SAN ANTONIO	\$	2,338,985	\$	2,410,001
	Object-of-Expense Informational Listing: Salaries and Wages Other Personnel Costs Consumable Supplies Travel Rent - Machine and Other Other Operating Expense	\$	2,274,351 16,860 15,000 1,500 1,000 30,274	\$	2,345,367 17,480 15,000 1,500 1,000 29,654
	Total, Object-of-Expense Informational Listing	\$	2,338,985	\$	2,410,001
	Estimated Allocations for Employee Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made Elsewhere in this Act:				
	Employee Benefits Retirement Group Insurance Social Security Benefits Replacement	\$	81,567 223,652 151,047 11,550	\$	83,199 238,744 154,068 10,972
	Subtotal, Employee Benefits		467,816	\$	486,983
	Total, Estimated Allocations for Employee Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made Elsewhere in this Act	\$	467,816	\$	486,983

^{*}Modified by Article IV, Special Provisions, Section 16 and the passage of House Bill 11, Second Called Session relating to judicial compensation, which added Other Funds (Judicial Fund 573) of \$142,033 in fiscal year 2006 and \$213,050 in fiscal year 2007.

FOURTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, SAN ANTONIO

(Continued)

1. **Performance Measure Targets.** The following is a listing of the key performance target levels for the Fourth Court of Appeals District, San Antonio. It is the intent of the Legislature that appropriations made by this Act be utilized in the most efficient and effective manner possible to achieve the intended mission of the Fourth Court of Appeals District, San Antonio. In order to achieve the objectives and service standards established by this Act, the Fourth Court of Appeals District, San Antonio shall make every effort to attain the following designated key performance target levels associated with each item of appropriation.

	2006	2007
A. Goal: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS		
Outcome (Results/Impact):		
Clearance Rate	100%	100%
Average Percent of Cases Submitted but Not Disposed		
within 12 Months	0%	0%
Average Percent of Cases Filed but Not Disposed within		
24 Months	0%	0%

FIFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, DALLAS

		For the Years Ending			Ending
			August 31, 2006	_	August 31, 2007
*	Method of Financing: General Revenue Fund Judicial Fund No. 573	\$	4,025,865 262,633	\$	4,025,864 393,950
	Total, Method of Financing	\$	4,288,498	\$	4,419,814
	This bill pattern represents an estimated 92.5% of this agency's estimated total available funds for the biennium.				
	Number of Full-Time-Equivalents (FTE):		55.4		55.4
*	Schedule of Exempt Positions: Chief Justice Justice		\$140,000 (12) 137,500		\$140,000 (12) 137,500
*	Items of Appropriation: A. Goal: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS A.1.1. Strategy: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS	\$	4,288,498	\$	4,419,814 & UB
	Grand Total, FIFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, DALLAS	\$	4,288,498	\$	4,419,814
	Object-of-Expense Informational Listing: Salaries and Wages Other Personnel Costs Consumable Supplies Utilities Travel Rent - Building Rent - Machine and Other Other Operating Expense	\$	4,030,130 27,000 8,517 3,800 9,600 23,115 33,000 153,336	\$	4,161,446 27,000 8,517 3,800 9,600 23,115 33,000 153,336
	Total, Object-of-Expense Informational Listing	\$	4,288,498	\$	4,419,814

^{*}Modified by Article IV, Special Provisions, Section 16 and the passage of House Bill 11, Second Called Session relating to judicial compensation, which added Other Funds (Judicial Fund 573) of \$262,633 in fiscal year 2006 and \$393,950 in fiscal year 2007.

FIFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, DALLAS

(Continued)

Estimated Allocations for Employee Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made Elsewhere in this Act:

Employee Benefits		
Retirement	\$ 122,188	\$ 124,632
Group Insurance	355,733	381,056
Social Security	235,133	239,835
Benefits Replacement	18,479	17,555
Subtotal, Employee Benefits	\$ 731,533	\$ 763,078
Total, Estimated Allocations for Employee Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made		
Elsewhere in this Act	\$ 731,533	\$ 763,078

1. Performance Measure Targets. The following is a listing of the key performance target levels for the Fifth Court of Appeals District, Dallas. It is the intent of the Legislature that appropriations made by this Act be utilized in the most efficient and effective manner possible to achieve the intended mission of the Fifth Court of Appeals District, Dallas. In order to achieve the objectives and service standards established by this Act, the Fifth Court of Appeals District, Dallas shall make every effort to attain the following designated key performance target levels associated with each item of appropriation.

	2006	2007
A. Goal: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS		
Outcome (Results/Impact):		
Clearance Rate	100%	100%
Average Percent of Cases Submitted but Not Disposed		
within 12 Months	0%	0%
Average Percent of Cases Filed but Not Disposed within		
24 Months	0%	0%

SIXTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, TEXARKANA

		For the Years Ending August 31, August 31 2006 2007			August 31,
*	Method of Financing: General Revenue Fund Judicial Fund No. 573	\$	1,101,049 61,633	\$	1,101,048 92,450
	Total, Method of Financing	\$	1,162,682	\$	1,193,498
	This bill pattern represents an estimated 98.8% of this agency's estimated total available funds for the biennium.				
	Number of Full-Time-Equivalents (FTE):		16.5		16.5
*	Schedule of Exempt Positions: Chief Justice Justice		\$140,000 (2) 137,500		\$140,000 (2) 137,500
*	Items of Appropriation: A. Goal: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS A.1.1. Strategy: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS	\$	1,162,682	\$	1,193,498 & UB
	Grand Total , SIXTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, TEXARKANA	\$	1,162,682	\$	1,193,498

^{*}Modified by Article IV, Special Provisions, Section 16 and the passage of House Bill 11, Second Called Session relating to judicial compensation, which added Other Funds (Judicial Fund 573) of \$61,633 in fiscal year 2006 and \$92,450 in fiscal year 2007.

SIXTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, TEXARKANA

(Continued)

Object-of-Expense Informational Listing: Salaries and Wages Other Personnel Costs Consumable Supplies Utilities Travel Rent - Building Rent - Machine and Other Other Operating Expense	\$ 1,060,918 19,440 6,000 4,400 9,000 1,500 1,500 59,924	\$ 1,091,735 7,120 7,000 4,500 9,000 1,500 1,500 71,143
Total, Object-of-Expense Informational Listing	\$ 1,162,682	\$ 1,193,498
Estimated Allocations for Employee Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made Elsewhere in this Act:		
Employee Benefits Retirement Group Insurance Social Security Benefits Replacement	\$ 40,806 120,999 68,506 5,449	\$ 41,622 129,732 69,876 5,177
Subtotal, Employee Benefits	\$ 235,760	\$ 246,407
Total, Estimated Allocations for Employee Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made Elsewhere in this Act	\$ 235,760	\$ 246,407

1. Performance Measure Targets. The following is a listing of the key performance target levels for the Sixth Court of Appeals District, Texarkana. It is the intent of the Legislature that appropriations made by this Act be utilized in the most efficient and effective manner possible to achieve the intended mission of the Sixth Court of Appeals District, Texarkana. In order to achieve the objectives and service standards established by this Act, the Sixth Court of Appeals District, Texarkana shall make every effort to attain the following designated key performance target levels associated with each item of appropriation.

	2006	2007
A. Goal: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS		
Outcome (Results/Impact):		
Clearance Rate	100%	100%
Average Percent of Cases Submitted but Not Disposed		
within 12 Months	0%	0%
Average Percent of Cases Filed but Not Disposed within		
24 Months	0%	0%

SEVENTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, AMARILLO

		For the Years August 31, 2006			ears Ending August 31, 2007		
*	Method of Financing: General Revenue Fund Judicial Fund No. 573	\$	1,436,899 81,733	\$	1,436,899 122,600		
	Total, Method of Financing	\$	1,518,632	\$	1,559,499		
	This bill pattern represents an estimated 99% of this agency's estimated total available funds for the biennium.						
	Number of Full-Time-Equivalents (FTE):		21.0		21.0		
*	Schedule of Exempt Positions: Chief Justice Justice		\$140,000 (3) 137,500		\$140,000 (3) 137,500		

^{*}Modified by Article IV, Special Provisions, Section 16 and the passage of House Bill 11, Second Called Session relating to judicial compensation, which added Other Funds (Judicial Fund 573) of \$81,733 in fiscal year 2006 and \$122,600 in fiscal year 2007.

SEVENTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, AMARILLO

(Continued)

Items of Appropriation: A. Goal: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS A.1.1. Strategy: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS	\$	1,518,632	\$	1,559,499 & UB
Grand Total, SEVENTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, AMARILLO	\$	1,518,632	s	1,559,499
BIOTHOT, AMARIELO	Ψ	1,510,032	Ψ	1,337,477
Object-of-Expense Informational Listing:				
Salaries and Wages	\$	1,384,871	\$	1,425,738
Other Personnel Costs		11,980		12,700
Consumable Supplies		10,000		10,000
Utilities		2,400		2,400
Travel		16,000		16,000
Rent - Building		20		20
Rent - Machine and Other		431		431
Other Operating Expense		92,930		92,210
Total, Object-of-Expense Informational Listing	\$	1,518,632	\$	1,559,499
Estimated Allocations for Employee Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made Elsewhere in this Act:				
Employee Benefits				
Retirement	\$	53,522	\$	54,592
Group Insurance		136,296		145,332
Social Security		93,360		95,227
Benefits Replacement		7,414		7,043
Subtotal, Employee Benefits	\$	290,592	\$	302,194
Total, Estimated Allocations for Employee				
Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made Elsewhere in this Act	\$	290,592	\$	302,194

1. **Performance Measure Targets.** The following is a listing of the key performance target levels for the Seventh Court of Appeals District, Amarillo. It is the intent of the Legislature that appropriations made by this Act be utilized in the most efficient and effective manner possible to achieve the intended mission of the Seventh Court of Appeals District, Amarillo. In order to achieve the objectives and service standards established by this Act, the Seventh Court of Appeals District, Amarillo shall make every effort to attain the following designated key performance target levels associated with each item of appropriation.

		2007
A. Goal: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS		
Outcome (Results/Impact):		
Clearance Rate	100%	100%
Average Percent of Cases Submitted but Not Disposed		
within 12 Months	0%	0%
Average Percent of Cases Filed but Not Disposed within		
24 Months	0%	0%

^{*}Modified by Article IV, Special Provisions, Section 16 and the passage of House Bill 11, Second Called Session relating to judicial compensation, which added Other Funds (Judicial Fund 573) of \$81,733 in fiscal year 2006 and \$122,600 in fiscal year 2007.

EIGHTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, EL PASO

		A	For the Yeaugust 31, 2006	ars	Ending August 31, 2007
	Method of Financing:				
	General Revenue Fund	\$	1,118,799	\$	1,118,800
*	Judicial Fund No. 573		61,633		92,450
	Total, Method of Financing	\$	1,180,432	\$	1,211,250
	This bill pattern represents an estimated 98.3% of this agency's estimated total available funds for the biennium.				
	Number of Full-Time-Equivalents (FTE):		19.0		19.0
*	Schedule of Exempt Positions:				
	Chief Justice		\$140,000		\$140,000
	Justice		(2) 137,500		(2) 137,500
*	Items of Appropriation: A. Goal: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS A.1.1. Strategy: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS	\$	1,180,432	\$	1,211,250 & UB
	Grand Total, EIGHTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, EL PASO	\$	1,180,432	\$	1,211,250
			-,,		- , ,
	Object-of-Expense Informational Listing:	Ф	1 076 400	Ф	1 107 200
	Salaries and Wages	\$	1,076,480	\$	1,107,298
	Other Personnel Costs Consumable Supplies		27,000 7,000		24,500 7,900
	Utilities		5,000		5,000
	Travel		5,000		5,000
	Rent - Building		14,500		15,000
	Rent - Machine and Other		800		1,000
	Other Operating Expense		44,652		45,552
	Total, Object-of-Expense Informational Listing	\$	1,180,432	\$	1,211,250
	Estimated Allocations for Employee Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made Elsewhere in this Act:				
	Employee Benefits				
	Retirement	\$	50,239	\$	51,243
	Group Insurance		151,048		162,019
	Social Security		90,822		92,638
	Benefits Replacement		10,426		9,904
	Subtotal, Employee Benefits	\$	302,535	\$	315,804
	Total, Estimated Allocations for Employee				
	Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made Elsewhere in this Act	\$	302,535	¢	315,804
	FISCALIGIG III (IIIS ACI	Ф	302,333	Ф	313,804

1. Performance Measure Targets. The following is a listing of the key performance target levels for the Eighth Court of Appeals District, El Paso. It is the intent of the Legislature that appropriations made by this Act be utilized in the most efficient and effective manner possible to achieve the intended mission of the Eighth Court of Appeals District, El Paso. In order to achieve the objectives and service standards established by this Act, the Eighth Court of Appeals District, El Paso shall make every effort to attain the following designated key performance target levels associated with each item of appropriation.

^{*}Modified by Article IV, Special Provisions, Section 16 and the passage of House Bill 11, Second Called Session relating to judicial compensation, which added Other Funds (Judicial Fund 573) of \$61,633 in fiscal year 2006 and \$92,450 in fiscal year 2007.

EIGHTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, EL PASO

(Continued)

	2006	2007
A. Goal: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS		
Outcome (Results/Impact):		
Clearance Rate	100%	100%
Average Percent of Cases Submitted but Not Disposed		
within 12 Months	0%	0%
Average Percent of Cases Filed but Not Disposed within		
24 Months	0%	0%

NINTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, BEAUMONT

		<u> </u>	For the Yeaugust 31, 2006	ears _	Ending August 31, 2007
*	Method of Financing: General Revenue Fund Judicial Fund No. 573	\$	1,407,146 81,733	\$	1,407,146 122,600
	Total, Method of Financing	\$	1,488,879	\$	1,529,746
	This bill pattern represents an estimated 99.1% of this agency's estimated total available funds for the biennium.				
	Number of Full-Time-Equivalents (FTE):		21.5		21.5
*	Schedule of Exempt Positions: Chief Justice Justice		\$140,000 (3) 137,500		\$140,000 (3) 137,500
*	Items of Appropriation: A. Goal: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS A.1.1. Strategy: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS	\$	1,488,879	\$	1,529,746 & UB
	Grand Total, NINTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, BEAUMONT	\$	1,488,879	\$	1,529,746
	Object-of-Expense Informational Listing: Salaries and Wages Other Personnel Costs Consumable Supplies Travel Other Operating Expense	\$	1,438,846 12,000 2,000 3,000 33,033	\$	1,479,713 12,000 2,000 3,000 33,033
	Total, Object-of-Expense Informational Listing	\$	1,488,879	\$	1,529,746
	Estimated Allocations for Employee Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made Elsewhere in this Act:				
	Employee Benefits Retirement Group Insurance Social Security Benefits Replacement	\$	50,004 111,320 80,841 11,065	\$	51,004 119,133 82,458 10,512
	Subtotal, Employee Benefits	\$	253,230	\$	263,107
	Total, Estimated Allocations for Employee Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made Elsewhere in this Act	\$	253,230	\$	263,107

^{*}Modified by Article IV, Special Provisions, Section 16 and the passage of House Bill 11, Second Called Session relating to judicial compensation, which added Other Funds (Judicial Fund 573) of \$81,733 in fiscal year 2006 and \$122,600 in fiscal year 2007.

NINTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, BEAUMONT

(Continued)

1. Performance Measure Targets. The following is a listing of the key performance target levels for the Ninth Court of Appeals District, Beaumont. It is the intent of the Legislature that appropriations made by this Act be utilized in the most efficient and effective manner possible to achieve the intended mission of the Ninth Court of Appeals District, Beaumont. In order to achieve the objectives and service standards established by this Act, the Ninth Court of Appeals District, Beaumont shall make every effort to attain the following designated key performance target levels associated with each item of appropriation.

	2006	2007
A. Goal: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS		
Outcome (Results/Impact):		
Clearance Rate	100%	100%
Average Percent of Cases Submitted but Not Disposed		
within 12 Months	0%	0%
Average Percent of Cases Filed but Not Disposed within		
24 Months	0%	0%

TENTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, WACO

		For the Year August 31, 2006			ears Ending August 31, 2007		
*	Method of Financing: General Revenue Fund Judicial Fund No. 573	\$	1,120,878 61,633	\$	1,120,878 92,450		
	Total, Method of Financing	\$	1,182,511	\$	1,213,328		
	This bill pattern represents an estimated 98.9% of this agency's estimated total available funds for the biennium.						
	Number of Full-Time-Equivalents (FTE):		16.5		16.5		
*	Schedule of Exempt Positions: Chief Justice Justice		\$140,000 (2) 137,500		\$140,000 (2) 137,500		
*	Items of Appropriation: A. Goal: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS A.1.1. Strategy: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS	\$	1,182,511	\$	1,213,328 & UB		
	Grand Total, TENTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, WACO	\$	1,182,511	\$	1,213,328		
	Object-of-Expense Informational Listing: Salaries and Wages Other Personnel Costs Consumable Supplies Utilities Travel Rent - Machine and Other Other Operating Expense	\$	1,079,023 5,000 12,000 4,000 12,000 1,300 69,188	\$	1,109,841 5,000 12,000 4,000 12,000 1,300 69,187		
	Total, Object-of-Expense Informational Listing	\$	1,182,511	\$	1,213,328		
	Estimated Allocations for Employee Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made Elsewhere in this Act:						
	Employee Benefits Retirement Group Insurance	\$	29,716 92,084	\$	30,310 99,066		

^{*}Modified by Article IV, Special Provisions, Section 16 and the passage of House Bill 11, Second Called Session relating to judicial compensation, which added Other Funds (Judicial Fund 573) of \$61,633 in fiscal year 2006 and \$92,450 in fiscal year 2007.

TENTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, WACO

(Continued)

Social Security	56,840	57,977
Benefits Replacement	 1,853	1,761
Subtotal, Employee Benefits	\$ 180,493	\$ 189,114
Total, Estimated Allocations for Employee Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made		
Elsewhere in this Act	\$ 180,493	\$ 189,114

1. Performance Measure Targets. The following is a listing of the key performance target levels for the Tenth Court of Appeals District, Waco. It is the intent of the Legislature that appropriations made by this Act be utilized in the most efficient and effective manner possible to achieve the intended mission of the Tenth Court of Appeals District, Waco. In order to achieve the objectives and service standards established by this Act, the Tenth Court of Appeals District, Waco shall make every effort to attain the following designated key performance target levels associated with each item of appropriation.

	2006	2007
A. Goal: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS		
Outcome (Results/Impact):		
Clearance Rate	100%	100%
Average Percent of Cases Submitted but Not Disposed		
within 12 Months	0%	0%
Average Percent of Cases Filed but Not Disposed within		
24 Months	0%	0%

ELEVENTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, EASTLAND

		For the Years Ending			Ending
		August 31, 2006		August 31, 2007	
*	Method of Financing: General Revenue Fund Judicial Fund No. 573	\$	1,130,091 61,633	\$	1,130,091 92,450
	Total, Method of Financing	\$	1,191,724	\$	1,222,541
	This bill pattern represents an estimated 98.9% of this agency's estimated total available funds for the biennium.				
	Number of Full-Time-Equivalents (FTE):		16.0		16.0
*	Schedule of Exempt Positions: Chief Justice Justice		\$140,000 (2) 137,500		\$140,000 (2) 137,500
*	Items of Appropriation: A. Goal: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS A.1.1. Strategy: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS	\$	1,191,724	\$	1,222,541 & UB
	Grand Total, ELEVENTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, EASTLAND	\$	1,191,724	\$	1,222,541
	Object-of-Expense Informational Listing: Salaries and Wages Other Personnel Costs Consumable Supplies Utilities Travel Rent - Machine and Other Other Operating Expense	\$	1,072,024 12,000 6,000 12,000 24,000 4,700 61,000	\$	1,102,841 12,000 6,000 12,000 24,000 4,700 61,000
	Total, Object-of-Expense Informational Listing	\$	1,191,724	\$	1,222,541

^{*}Modified by Article IV, Special Provisions, Section 16 and the passage of House Bill 11, Second Called Session relating to judicial compensation, which added Other Funds (Judicial Fund 573) of \$61,633 in fiscal year 2006 and \$92,450 in fiscal year 2007.

ELEVENTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, EASTLAND

(Continued)

Estimated Allocations for Employee Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made Elsewhere in this Act:

Employee Benefits		
Retirement	\$ 40,944	\$ 41,763
Group Insurance	137,590	146,846
Social Security	67,256	68,601
Benefits Replacement	10,189	9,680
Subtotal, Employee Benefits	\$ 255,979	\$ 266,890
Total, Estimated Allocations for Employee Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made Elsewhere in this Act	\$ 255.979	\$ 266.890

1. Performance Measure Targets. The following is a listing of the key performance target levels for the Eleventh Court of Appeals District, Eastland. It is the intent of the Legislature that appropriations made by this Act be utilized in the most efficient and effective manner possible to achieve the intended mission of the Eleventh Court of Appeals District, Eastland. In order to achieve the objectives and service standards established by this Act, the Eleventh Court of Appeals District, Eastland shall make every effort to attain the following designated key performance target levels associated with each item of appropriation.

	2006	2007
A. Goal: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS		
Outcome (Results/Impact):		
Clearance Rate	100%	100%
Average Percent of Cases Submitted but Not Disposed		
within 12 Months	0%	0%
Average Percent of Cases Filed but Not Disposed within		
24 Months	0%	0%

TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, TYLER

		For the Years Ending			Ending
		A	2006 2006	_	August 31, 2007
*	Method of Financing: General Revenue Fund Judicial Fund No. 573	\$	1,125,127 61,633	\$	1,125,127 92,450
	Total, Method of Financing	\$	1,186,760	\$	1,217,577
	This bill pattern represents an estimated 99.1% of this agency's estimated total available funds for the biennium.				
	Number of Full-Time-Equivalents (FTE):		15.0		15.0
*	Schedule of Exempt Positions: Chief Justice Justice		\$140,000 (2) 137,500		\$140,000 (2) 137,500
*	Items of Appropriation: A. Goal: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS A.1.1. Strategy: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS	\$	1,186,760	\$	1,217,577 & UB
	Grand Total, TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, TYLER	\$	1,186,760	\$	1,217,577

^{*}Modified by Article IV, Special Provisions, Section 16 and the passage of House Bill 11, Second Called Session relating to judicial compensation, which added Other Funds (Judicial Fund 573) of \$61,633 in fiscal year 2006 and \$92,450 in fiscal year 2007.

TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, TYLER

(Continued)

Object-of-Expense Informational Listing:		
Salaries and Wages	\$ 1,088,592	\$ 1,119,410
Other Personnel Costs	7,060	7,060
Consumable Supplies	7,500	7,500
Utilities	12,500	12,500
Travel	10,500	10,500
Rent - Machine and Other	10,432	10,432
Other Operating Expense	38,176	38,175
Capital Expenditures	12,000	12,000
Total, Object-of-Expense Informational Listing	\$ 1,186,760	\$ 1,217,577
Estimated Allocations for Employee Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made Elsewhere in this Act:		
Employee Benefits		
Retirement	\$ 42,249	\$ 43,094
Group Insurance	128,670	138,932
Social Security	72,685	74,139
Benefits Replacement	3,707	3,522
Subtotal, Employee Benefits	\$ 247,311	\$ 259,687
Total, Estimated Allocations for Employee		
Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made		
Elsewhere in this Act	\$ 247,311	\$ 259,687

1. **Performance Measure Targets.** The following is a listing of the key performance target levels for the Twelfth Court of Appeals District, Tyler. It is the intent of the Legislature that appropriations made by this Act be utilized in the most efficient and effective manner possible to achieve the intended mission of the Twelfth Court of Appeals District, Tyler. In order to achieve the objectives and service standards established by this Act, the Twelfth Court of Appeals District, Tyler shall make every effort to attain the following designated key performance target levels associated with each item of appropriation.

	2006	2007
A. Goal: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS		
Outcome (Results/Impact):		
Clearance Rate	100%	100%
Average Percent of Cases Submitted but Not Disposed		
within 12 Months	0%	0%
Average Percent of Cases Filed but Not Disposed within		
24 Months	0%	0%

THIRTEENTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, CORPUS CHRISTI-EDINBURG

		A	For the Ye august 31, 2006	ears]	Ending August 31, 2007
*	Method of Financing: General Revenue Fund Judicial Fund No. 573	\$	2,025,598 121,933	\$	2,025,598 182,900
	Total, Method of Financing	\$	2,147,531	\$	2,208,498
	This bill pattern represents an estimated 95.4% of this agency's estimated total available funds for the biennium.				
	Number of Full-Time-Equivalents (FTE):		32.0		32.0
*	Schedule of Exempt Positions: Chief Justice Justice		\$140,000 (5) 137,500		\$140,000 (5) 137,500
*	Items of Appropriation: A. Goal: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS A.1.1. Strategy: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS	\$	2,147,531	\$	2,208,498 & UB
	Grand Total, THIRTEENTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, CORPUS CHRISTI-EDINBURG	\$	2,147,531	\$	2,208,498
	Object-of-Expense Informational Listing: Salaries and Wages Other Personnel Costs Consumable Supplies Travel Rent - Machine and Other	\$	2,079,308 10,800 6,716 6,000 2,044	\$	2,140,275 10,800 6,716 6,000 2,044
	Other Operating Expense Capital Expenditures		22,663 20,000		22,663 20,000
	Total, Object-of-Expense Informational Listing	\$	2,147,531	\$	2,208,498
	Estimated Allocations for Employee Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made Elsewhere in this Act:				
	Employee Benefits Retirement Group Insurance Social Security Benefits Replacement	\$	83,630 198,688 140,306 9,267	\$	85,303 211,672 143,112 8,804
	Subtotal, Employee Benefits	\$	431,891	\$	448,891
	Total, Estimated Allocations for Employee Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made Elsewhere in this Act	\$	431,891	\$	448,891

1. Performance Measure Targets. The following is a listing of the key performance target levels for the Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi-Edinburg. It is the intent of the Legislature that appropriations made by this Act be utilized in the most efficient and effective manner possible to achieve the intended mission of the Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi-Edinburg. In order to achieve the objectives and service standards established by this Act, the Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi-Edinburg shall make every effort to attain the following designated key performance target levels associated with each item of appropriation.

^{*}Modified by Article IV, Special Provisions, Section 16 and the passage of House Bill 11, Second Called Session relating to judicial compensation, which added Other Funds (Judicial Fund 573) of \$121,933 in fiscal year 2006 and \$182,900 in fiscal year 2007.

THIRTEENTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, CORPUS CHRISTI-EDINBURG (Continued)

	2006	2007
A. Goal: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS		
Outcome (Results/Impact):		
Clearance Rate	100%	100%
Average Percent of Cases Submitted but Not Disposed		
within 12 Months	0%	0%
Average Percent of Cases Filed but Not Disposed within		
24 Months	0%	0%

FOURTEENTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, HOUSTON

					August 31,
			2006	_	2007
	Method of Financing:				
	General Revenue Fund	\$	2,947,814	\$	2,947,814
	Judicial Fund No. 573		182,233		273,350
	Total, Method of Financing	\$	3,130,047	\$	3,221,164
(This bill pattern represents an estimated 91.2% of this agency's estimated total available funds for the biennium.				
ı	Number of Full-Time-Equivalents (FTE):		43.5		43.5
*	Schedule of Exempt Positions:				
	Chief Justice		\$140,000		\$140,000
	Justice		(8) 137,500		(8) 137,500
	Items of Appropriation: A. Goal: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS A.1.1. Strategy: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS	\$	3,130,047	\$	3,221,164 & UB
					a 6B
	Grand Total, FOURTEENTH COURT OF APPEALS				
	DISTRICT, HOUSTON	\$	3,130,047	\$	3,221,164
	Object-of-Expense Informational Listing:				
	Salaries and Wages	\$	2,903,527	\$	2,994,645
	Other Personnel Costs	Ψ	52,304	Ψ	52,304
	Professional Fees and Services		350		350
	Consumable Supplies		14,385		14,385
	Travel		4,650		4,650
	Rent - Building		4,898		4,898
	Other Operating Expense		149,933		149,932
	5 I		. ,		
•	Total, Object-of-Expense Informational Listing	\$	3,130,047	\$	3,221,164
	Estimated Allocations for Employee Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made Elsewhere in this Act:				
]	Employee Benefits				
	Retirement	\$	100,011	\$	102,011
(Group Insurance		308,961		330,265
5	Social Security		184,977		188,677
]	Benefits Replacement		9,780		9,291
	Subtotal, Employee Benefits	\$	603,729	\$	630,244
	Total, Estimated Allocations for Employee				
	Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made				
	Elsewhere in this Act	\$	603,729	\$	630,244

^{*}Modified by Article IV, Special Provisions, Section 16 and the passage of House Bill 11, Second Called Session relating to judicial compensation, which added Other Funds (Judicial Fund 573) of \$182,233 in fiscal year 2006 and \$273,350 in fiscal year 2007.

FOURTEENTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, HOUSTON

(Continued)

1. Performance Measure Targets. The following is a listing of the key performance target levels for the Fourteenth Court of Appeals District, Houston. It is the intent of the Legislature that appropriations made by this Act be utilized in the most efficient and effective manner possible to achieve the intended mission of the Fourteenth Court of Appeals District, Houston. In order to achieve the objectives and service standards established by this Act, the Fourteenth Court of Appeals District, Houston shall make every effort to attain the following designated key performance target levels associated with each item of appropriation.

	2006	2007
A. Goal: APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS		
Outcome (Results/Impact):		
Clearance Rate	100%	100%
Average Percent of Cases Submitted but Not Disposed		
within 12 Months	0%	0%
Average Percent of Cases Filed but Not Disposed within		
24 Months	0%	0%

OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION, TEXAS JUDICIAL COUNCIL

	For the Years Ending August 31, August 3			
	2006		_	August 31, 2007
Method of Financing:				
General Revenue Fund General Revenue Fund	\$	7,032,934	\$	6,399,370
Earned Federal Funds	4	7,265	4	0
Subtotal, General Revenue Fund	\$	7,040,199	\$	6,399,370
General Revenue Fund - Dedicated				
Fair Defense Account No. 5073		15,107,093		13,627,091
Subtotal, General Revenue Fund - Dedicated	\$	15,107,093	\$	13,627,091
Other Funds				
Appropriated Receipts		23,323		23,324
Interagency Contracts		5,866,479		5,866,479
Subtotal, Other Funds	\$	5,889,802	\$	5,889,803
Total, Method of Financing	\$	28,037,094	\$	25,916,264
Other Direct and Indirect Costs Appropriated Elsewhere in this Act	\$	37,738	\$	39,010
This bill pattern represents an estimated 100% of this agency's estimated total available funds for the biennium.				
Number of Full-Time-Equivalents (FTE): Number of FTEs in Riders:		188.0 1.0		188.0 1.0
Schedule of Exempt Positions:				
Items of Appropriation: A. Goal: PROCESSES AND INFORMATION Improve Processes and Report Information.				
* A.1.1. Strategy: COURT ADMINISTRATION	\$	2,091,566	\$	2,042,381 & UB

^{*}Modified by Article IX, Section 14.01 and the passage of Senate Bill 1863, Regular Session, which added 4.0 FTEs each fiscal year which are not subject to Article IX, Section 6.14(a).

^{**}Modified by Article IX, Section 14.22 and the passage of Senate Bill 6, Regular Session, which added 1.0 FTE each fiscal year which is not subject to Article IX, Section 6.14(a).

^{***}Modified by Article IX, Section 14.01 and the passage of Senate Bill 1863, Regular Session, which added General Revenue of \$310,588 in fiscal year 2006 and \$268,668 in fiscal year 2007. Also modified by Article IX, Section 14.22 and the passage of Senate Bill 6, Regular Session, which added General Revenue of \$10,000 each fiscal year.

OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION, TEXAS JUDICIAL COUNCIL (Continued)

	A.1.2. Strategy: INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	\$	2,842,333	\$	2,270,409
	A.1.3. Strategy: DOCKET EQUALIZATION	\$	20,000	\$	& UB 20,000
	Equalization of the Courts of Appeals Dockets.				& UB
	A.1.4. Strategy: ASSIST ADMIN JUDICIAL REGIONS	\$	193,782	\$	193,783 & UB
	Assistance to the Administrative Judicial				W 02
	Regions. A.2.1. Strategy: INDIGENT DEFENSE	\$	15,107,093	\$	13,627,091
	Indigent Defense Standards and Services.				& UB
		\$	20 254 774	ø	10 152 ((4
	Total, Goal A: PROCESSES AND INFORMATION	Ф	20,254,774	D	18,153,664
	B. Goal: SPECIALTY COURT PROGRAMS				
	Complete Specialty Court Program Cases.	\$	5 609 070	¢	5 609 070
	B.1.1. Strategy: CHILD SUPPORT COURTS PROGRAM	2	5,698,979	\$	5,698,979 & UB
	B.1.2. Strategy: CHILD PROTECTION COURTS PROGRAM	\$	1 028 040	\$	1,905,160
	FROGRAM	<u> </u>	1,928,040	Ф	8 UB
	Total, Goal B: SPECIALTY COURT PROGRAMS	\$	7,627,019	\$	7,604,139
	C. Goal: COURT REPORTERS CERT BOARD Court Reporters Certification Board.				
	C.1.1. Strategy: COURT REPORTERS CERT BOARD	\$	144,813	\$	144,885
	on the second relations of the second	Ψ	111,015	Ψ	& UB
	Court Reporters Certification Board.				
	C.1.2. Strategy: TEXASONLINE	\$	10,488	\$	13,576 & UB
	TexasOnline. Estimated and Nontransferable.				ж ов
	Total, Goal C: COURT REPORTERS CERT BOARD	\$	155,301	\$	158,461
	Grand Total, OFFICE OF COURT				
	ADMINISTRATION, TEXAS				
	JUDICIAL COUNCIL	\$	28,037,094	\$	25,916,264
*	Supplemental Appropriations Made in Riders:	\$	10,000	\$	10,000
	Object-of-Expense Informational Listing:				
	Salaries and Wages	\$	10,569,153	\$	10,569,740
	Other Personnel Costs		161,556		164,136
	Professional Fees and Services		194,280		135,589
	Consumable Supplies		58,982		58,982
	Utilities Travel		28,445 474,809		28,445 474,323
	Rent - Building		12,480		12,480
	Rent - Machine and Other		26,598		26,598
	Other Operating Expense		2,024,668		1,539,400
	Grants		14,354,193		12,874,191
	Capital Expenditures		141,930		42,380
	Total, Object-of-Expense Informational Listing	\$	28,047,094	\$	25,926,264
	Estimated Allocations for Employee Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made Elsewhere in this Act:				
	Employee Benefits				
	Retirement	\$	613,536	\$	625,807
	Group Insurance		872,098		925,573
	Social Security Benefits Replacement		739,239 76,436		754,023 72,614
	Benefits Replacement		70,430		/2,014
	Subtotal, Employee Benefits	\$	2,301,309	\$	2,378,017

^{*}Modified by Article IX, Section 14.22 and the passage of Senate Bill 6, Regular Session, which added General Revenue of \$10,000 each fiscal year in estimated appropriations.

(Continued)

Debt Service				
Lease Payments	\$	232,718	\$	232,487
Total, Estimated Allocations for Employee				
Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made				
Elsawhara in this Act	•	2 534 027	Φ	2 610 504

1. Performance Measure Targets. The following is a listing of the key performance target levels for the Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council. It is the intent of the Legislature that appropriations made by this Act be utilized in the most efficient and effective manner possible to achieve the intended mission of the Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council. In order to achieve the objectives and service standards established by this Act, the Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council shall make every effort to attain the following designated key performance target levels associated with each item of appropriation.

	2006	2007
A. Goal: PROCESSES AND INFORMATION Outcome (Results/Impact): Percent of Entities Reporting Case Statistics		
Electronically	41.7%	45.4%
Percent of Counties Receiving State Funds for Indigent Defense A.1.1. Strategy: COURT ADMINISTRATION Output (Volume):	91%	91%
Number of New Collections Programs Implemented or Existing Programs Expanded	33	38
B. Goal: SPECIALTY COURT PROGRAMS Outcome (Results/Impact): Child Support Courts Case Disposition Rate B.1.2. Strategy: CHILD PROTECTION COURTS PROGRAM	100%	100%
Output (Volume): Number of Children Who Have Received a Final Order	3,600	3,600
C. Goal: COURT REPORTERS CERT BOARD Outcome (Results/Impact):		
Percentage of Licensees with No Recent Violations Percent of Licensees Who Renew Online C.1.1. Strategy: COURT REPORTERS CERT BOARD Output (Volume):	99% 55%	99% 55%
Number of New Licenses Issued to Individuals	85	85

2. Capital Budget. None of the funds appropriated above may be expended for capital budget items except as listed below. The amounts shown below shall be expended only for the purposes shown and are not available for expenditure for other purposes. Amounts appropriated above and identified in this provision as appropriations either for "Lease Payments to the Master Lease Purchase Program" or for items with an "(MLPP)" notation shall be expended only for the purpose of making lease-purchase payments to the Texas Public Finance Authority pursuant to the provisions of Government Code § 1232.103.

		2006	-	2007
Out of the General Revenue Fund:				
 a. Acquisition of Information Resource Technologies (1) Trial Court Connectivity, Collection and Case Management (2) FY06/07 Computer Equipment and Software 	\$ \$	250,000 502,004	\$	250,000 125,430
Total, Acquisition of Information Resource Technologies	\$	752,004	\$	375,430
Total, Capital Budget	\$	752,004	\$	375,430

3. Restriction, Assistance to the Administrative Judicial Regions. Funds appropriated in Strategy A.1.4, Assistance to Administrative Judicial Regions, may be used only for the payment of salaries of administrative assistants employed by the presiding judges of the

(Continued)

Administrative Judicial Regions pursuant to Government Code § 74.050, as amended. The salaries paid the administrative assistants may be supplemented from sources other than appropriations provided by this Act.

- * 4. Information Regarding Allocation of Full-Time-Equivalent Positions. The following data regarding allocation of the "Number of Full-Time-Equivalent Positions (FTE)" is provided for informational purposes only, and should not be construed as a cap on the number of FTEs in any one strategy: Strategy A.1.1, Court Administration (34.0 FTEs); Strategy A.1.2, Information Technology (21.5 FTEs); Strategy A.1.4, Assistance to Administrative Judicial Regions (9.0 FTEs); Strategy A.2.1, Indigent Defense (7.0 FTEs); Strategy B.1.1, Child Support Courts Program (86.5 FTEs); Strategy B.1.2, Child Protection Courts Program (28.0 FTEs); and Strategy C.1.1, Court Reporters Certification Board (3.0 FTEs).
 - 5. Disaster Recovery, Data Operations, Seat Management, and Information Services at the Appellate Courts. It is the intent of the Legislature that contract services for disaster recovery and data operations services, including seat management, at the appellate courts must be performed by the State Data Center (SDC). Further, employees at appellate courts that perform on-site disaster recovery and data operations services, including seat management, must provide the same level of service available from the SDC and may not be reimbursed by the Office of Court Administration from the Strategy A.1.2, Information Technology, in an amount that would exceed the cost of a contract with the SDC. It is the intent of the Legislature that the appellate courts may not employ contractors or employees that provide services that duplicate the information services provided by the Office of Court Administration.
 - **6. Information Services for the Trial Courts.** Out of funds appropriated above in Strategy A.1.2, Information Technology, no less than \$1,520,000 shall be dedicated to providing information services for the Trial Courts.
 - 7. Information Technology Equipment and Services. It is the intent of the Legislature that the Office of Court Administration provide staff and information technology equipment and services for the Judicial Committee on Information Technology and information technology equipment and services for the State Law Library, Office of the State Prosecuting Attorney, and Court Reporters Certification Board subject to funds available within amounts appropriated above for Strategy A.1.2, Information Technology. The State Law Library will continue to submit a biennial operating plan for internal support of subscription services to online legal research tools and daily library functions.
 - 8. District Court Performance Measures. It is the intent of the Legislature that the Office of Court Administration report data for the district courts on a countywide basis and that the data measure countywide clearance rates for criminal, civil, and juvenile cases and measure age of cases disposed and the backlog index for criminal and civil cases. Further, the Office of Court Administration should revise its reporting system for the trial courts to simplify reporting, improve data collection and compliance, and streamline its annual report of the Texas judicial system.
 - 9. Appellate Court Performance Measures. It is the intent of the Legislature that the current performance measures for the appellate courts continue to be used for caseload management by each court in accordance with uniform data reporting standards approved by the courts of appeals. Further, the appellate courts should continuously find ways to operate efficiently without sacrificing the quality of justice while remaining true to the rule of law. Finally, the Office of Court Administration should continue to study whether the statistical data currently reported is presented in a clear, understandable format and what, if any, additional data should be collected.
 - 10. Assistance to Presiding Judges of the Nine Administrative Judicial Regions. The Office of Court Administration shall assist the presiding judges of the nine administrative judicial regions in seeking alternative funding, including federal funding, for payments to visiting judges serving in the nine administrative judicial regions.

^{*}Modified by Article IX, Sections 14.01 and 14.22, and the passage of Senate Bill 1863 and Senate Bill 6, Regular Session, which added 5.0 FTEs which are not subject to Article IX, Section 6.14(a).

(Continued)

- 11. Interagency Contract for Assigned Judges for Child Protection Courts. Out of funds appropriated above to Strategy B.1.2, Child Protection Courts Program, the Office of Court Administration may enter into a contract with the Office of the Comptroller for fiscal years 2006 and 2007, for the purpose of reimbursing the Comptroller for amounts expended for judges assigned under Chapter 74, Government Code to hear cases of the Child Protection Courts established pursuant to Subchapter C, Chapter 201, Family Code. It is the intent of the Legislature that any amounts reimbursed under this contract for judges assigned to the Child Protection Courts are in addition to amounts appropriated for the use of assigned judges in Strategy A.1.2, Visiting Judges Regions in the Judiciary Section, Comptroller's Department.
- Indigent Defense. All amounts deposited into the Fair Defense Account (General Revenue -Dedicated Account No. 5073) are appropriated in Strategy A.2.1, Indigent Defense. Any amounts deposited in excess of \$13,627,093 in fiscal year 2006 and \$13,627,091 in fiscal year 2007 are hereby appropriated to the Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council for the same purpose. Any unexpended balances in the Fair Defense Account at the end of fiscal year 2005 are hereby appropriated for fiscal year 2006 to the Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council for the same purpose (estimated to be \$1,480,000 and included in amounts appropriated above). Any unexpended balances in the Fair Defense Account at the end of fiscal year 2006 are hereby appropriated for fiscal year 2007 to the Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council for the same purpose. Included above in Strategy A.2.1, Indigent Defense, is \$685,500 in fiscal year 2006 and \$685,500 in fiscal year 2007 for the administration of the Task Force on Indigent Defense. The Task Force on Indigent Defense shall have authority to make grants to counties from the Fair Defense Account, with funds being disbursed by the Comptroller. In no event shall the appropriation made by this section be used to offset the Office of Court Administration's administrative support provided to the Task Force on Indigent Defense.
- 13. Appropriations Limited to Revenue Collections. It is the intent of the Legislature that fees, fines and other miscellaneous revenues as authorized and generated by the Court Reporters Certification Board cover, at a minimum, the cost of the appropriations made above in Strategy C.1.1, Court Reporters Certification Board and Strategy C.1.2, TexasOnline as well as an amount equal to the amount identified above in the informational item "Other Direct and Indirect Costs Appropriated Elsewhere in this Act." In the event that actual and/or projected revenue collections are insufficient to offset the costs identified by this provision, the Legislative Budget Board may direct that the Comptroller of Public Accounts reduce the appropriation authority provided above to be within the amount of revenue expected to be available.
- 14. Innocence Projects. Out of amounts appropriated above in Strategy A.2.1, Indigent Defense, \$400,000 in each year of the biennium from the General Revenue-Dedicated Fair Defense Account No. 5073 shall be used by the Task Force on Indigent Defense to contract with law schools at the University of Houston, the University of Texas, Texas Tech University, and Texas Southern University for innocence projects. It is the intent of the Legislature that the amount of each contract with each university shall be \$100,000. Any unexpended balances in the \$400,000 in funds designated for innocence projects as of August 31, 2006 are hereby appropriated to Strategy A.2.1, Indigent Defense for the same purpose for the fiscal year beginning September 1, 2006.
- 15. Earned Federal Funds and Unexpended Balance Authority Between Biennia. Included in amounts appropriated above in Strategy A.1.1, Court Administration, are any unexpended balances as of August 31, 2005 identified as earned federal funds (estimated to be \$5,449 in fiscal year 2006). Additionally, included in amounts appropriated above in Strategy A.1.1, Court Administration, are earned federal funds received during the biennium beginning September 1, 2005 (estimated to be \$1,816 in fiscal year 2006 and \$0 in fiscal year 2007). Earned federal funds received by the Office of Court Administration under this provision are hereby appropriated to the agency for use in office operations.

(Continued)

* 16. Contingency Appropriation for Senate Bill 368. Contingent on passage of Senate Bill 368 or similar legislation by the Seventy-ninth Legislature relating to compensation of state judges, and the Comptroller of Public Accounts issuing a finding of fact certifying additional revenue collections from fees, fines, and court costs during the 2006-07 biennium in Judicial Fund No. 573 that exceed the Comptroller of Public Accounts Biennial Revenue Estimate for these items by at least \$103,550 in fiscal year 2006 and \$24,848 in fiscal year 2007, the Office of Court Administration is appropriated \$103,550 in fiscal year 2006 and \$24,848 in fiscal year 2007 out of the Judicial Fund No. 573 in Strategy A.1.2, Information Technology, for the purpose of implementing live broadcasts of Supreme Court proceedings over the Internet. Any unexpended balances in these funds as of August 31, 2006 are hereby appropriated to the Office of Court Administration for the fiscal year beginning September 1, 2006 for the same purposes.

OFFICE OF THE STATE PROSECUTING ATTORNEY

				Ending August 31, 2007
Method of Financing: General Revenue Fund	\$	341,024	\$	341,022
Total, Method of Financing	\$	341,024	\$	341,022
This bill pattern represents an estimated 100% of this agency's estimated total available funds for the biennium.				
Number of Full-Time-Equivalents (FTE):		5.0		5.0
Schedule of Exempt Positions: State Prosecuting Attorney		\$95,000		\$95,000
Items of Appropriation: A. Goal: REPRESENTATION BEFORE CCA Representation of the State before the Court of Criminal Appeals. A.1.1. Strategy: REPRESENTATION BEFORE CCA Representation of the State before the Court of Criminal Appeals.	\$	341,024	\$	341,022 & UB
Grand Total, OFFICE OF THE STATE PROSECUTING ATTORNEY	\$	341,024	\$	341,022
Object-of-Expense Informational Listing: Salaries and Wages Other Personnel Costs Consumable Supplies Utilities Travel Rent - Machine and Other Other Operating Expense Capital Expenditures	\$	317,169 4,440 1,920 6,498 2,350 3,000 2,247 3,400	\$	317,167 4,980 1,380 6,498 2,350 3,000 2,247 3,400
Total, Object-of-Expense Informational Listing	\$	341,024	\$	341,022
Estimated Allocations for Employee Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made Elsewhere in this Act:				
Employee Benefits Retirement Group Insurance Social Security Benefits Replacement	\$	20,285 36,980 24,603 2,780	\$	20,691 40,193 25,096 2,641
Subtotal, Employee Benefits	\$	84,648	\$	88,621

^{*}Senate Bill 368, Regular Session, did not pass, and the rider was vetoed by the Governor. See Veto Proclamation.

OFFICE OF THE STATE PROSECUTING ATTORNEY

(Continued)

Debt Service Lease Payments	\$ 97,128 \$	97,031
Total, Estimated Allocations for Employee		
Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made		
Elsewhere in this Act	\$ 181.776 \$	185,652

1. Performance Measure Targets. The following is a listing of the key performance target levels for the Office of the State Prosecuting Attorney. It is the intent of the Legislature that appropriations made by this Act be utilized in the most efficient and effective manner possible to achieve the intended mission of the Office of the State Prosecuting Attorney. In order to achieve the objectives and service standards established by this Act, the Office of the State Prosecuting Attorney shall make every effort to attain the following designated key performance target levels associated with each item of appropriation.

	2006	2007
A. Goal: REPRESENTATION BEFORE CCA		
Outcome (Results/Impact):		
Petitions for Discretionary Review Granted by the Court		
of Criminal Appeals	23	23

STATE LAW LIBRARY

Method of Financing: General Revenue Fund	\$	764,077	\$	764,078
Other Funds Appropriated Receipts Interagency Contracts		39,000 2,100		39,000 2,100
Subtotal, Other Funds	\$	41,100	\$	41,100
Total, Method of Financing	\$	805,177	\$	805,178
This bill pattern represents an estimated 100% of this agency's estimated total available funds for the biennium.				
Number of Full-Time-Equivalents (FTE):		11.0		11.0
Schedule of Exempt Positions: Director, Group 1		\$58,000		\$58,000
Items of Appropriation: A. Goal: ADMINISTRATION AND OPERATIONS A.1.1. Strategy: ADMINISTRATION AND OPERATIONS	\$	805,177	\$	805,178
Grand Total, STATE LAW LIBRARY	\$	805,177	\$	805,178
Object-of-Expense Informational Listing: Salaries and Wages Other Personnel Costs Consumable Supplies Utilities Rent - Building Rent - Machine and Other Other Operating Expense Capital Expenditures	\$	408,152 7,960 4,000 240 240 14,400 279,936 90,249	\$	408,152 8,280 4,000 240 240 14,400 279,617 90,249
Total, Object-of-Expense Informational Listing	\$	805,177	\$	805,178

STATE LAW LIBRARY

(Continued)

Estimated Allocations for Employee Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made Elsewhere in this Act:

Employee Benefits		
Retirement	\$ 26,498	\$ 27,028
Group Insurance	48,084	50,836
Social Security	30,095	30,697
Benefits Replacement	3,707	3,522
Subtotal, Employee Benefits	\$ 108,384	\$ 112,083
Debt Service		
Lease Payments	\$ 337,913	\$ 337,578
Total, Estimated Allocations for Employee		
Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made		
Elsewhere in this Act	\$ 446,297	\$ 449,661

1. **Performance Measure Targets.** The following is a listing of the key performance target levels for the State Law Library. It is the intent of the Legislature that appropriations made by this Act be utilized in the most efficient and effective manner possible to achieve the intended mission of the State Law Library. In order to achieve the objectives and service standards established by this Act, the State Law Library shall make every effort to attain the following designated key performance target levels associated with each item of appropriation.

	2006	2007
A. Goal: ADMINISTRATION AND OPERATIONS Outcome (Results/Impact):		
Percentage of Positive Evaluations of Library Service		
by Library Users	90%	90%

- 2. Appropriation of Receipts: Fees and Service Charges. Appropriations above in Strategy A.1.1, Administration and Operations, include \$39,000 in fiscal year 2006 and \$39,000 in fiscal year 2007 from collection of fees and service charges. All receipts collected by the State Law Library as fees and service charges, as authorized pursuant to Government Code § 91.011, in excess of such amounts appropriated above, are hereby appropriated to the State Law Library for the biennium beginning September 1, 2005.
- 3. Computer-based Legal Research Services. The State Law Library is hereby authorized to enter into interagency contracts with other state agencies and the courts for providing a computer-based legal research service. Appropriations above in Strategy A.1.1, Administration and Operations, include \$2,100 in fiscal year 2006 and \$2,100 in fiscal year 2007 in interagency contracts for computer-based legal research services. The State Law Library shall charge an amount for this service equal to the cost to the library for providing this service.
- **4. Fee Schedule, Duplication Services.** The State Law Library shall set a fee schedule for duplication services to the inmates of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice at the same amount per page as charged to the general public.
- **5. Unexpended Balances.** Any unexpended and unobligated balances remaining in Strategy A.1.1, Administration and Operations, as of August 31, 2006, are hereby appropriated for fiscal year 2007, in an amount not to exceed \$50,000, to the State Law Library for the purpose of acquiring legal reference materials.

STATE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Au	For the Yeugust 31, 2006	ears	Ending August 31, 2007
Method of Financing: General Revenue Fund	\$	829,881	\$	829,881
Total, Method of Financing	\$	829,881	\$	829,881
This bill pattern represents an estimated 100% of this agency's estimated total available funds for the biennium.				
Number of Full-Time-Equivalents (FTE):		15.0		15.0
Schedule of Exempt Positions: Executive Director, Group 4		\$100,000		\$100,000
Items of Appropriation: A. Goal: ADMINISTRATION AND ENFORCEMENT A.1.1. Strategy: ADMINISTRATION AND ENFORCEMENT	\$	829,881	\$	829,881 & UB
Grand Total, STATE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT	\$	829,881	\$	829,881
Object-of-Expense Informational Listing: Salaries and Wages Other Personnel Costs Professional Fees and Services Consumable Supplies Utilities Travel Rent - Building Rent - Machine and Other Other Operating Expense	\$	773,092 6,720 4,200 5,000 1,000 10,000 1,300 2,700 25,869	\$	773,092 6,720 4,200 5,000 1,000 10,000 1,300 2,700 25,869
Total, Object-of-Expense Informational Listing	\$	829,881	\$	829,881
Estimated Allocations for Employee Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made Elsewhere in this Act:				
Employee Benefits Retirement Group Insurance Social Security	\$	52,676 103,710 61,998	\$	53,730 111,442 63,238
Subtotal, Employee Benefits	\$	218,384	\$	228,410
Debt Service Lease Payments	\$	63,670	\$	63,606
Total, Estimated Allocations for Employee Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made Elsewhere in this Act	\$	282,054	\$	292,016

1. Performance Measure Targets. The following is a listing of the key performance target levels for the State Commission on Judicial Conduct. It is the intent of the Legislature that appropriations made by this Act be utilized in the most efficient and effective manner possible to achieve the intended mission of the State Commission on Judicial Conduct. In order to achieve the objectives and service standards established by this Act, the State Commission on Judicial Conduct shall make every effort to attain the following designated key performance target levels associated with each item of appropriation.

A. Goal: ADMINISTRATION AND ENFORCEMENT Outcome (Results/Impact): Percentage of Cases Disposed 1009	6 100%

2. Unexpended Balances. The State Commission on Judicial Conduct is hereby authorized to carry forward unexpended balances from fiscal year 2006 into fiscal year 2007.

STATE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

(Continued)

3. Formal Proceedings and Appeals. Out of the amounts appropriated above in Strategy A.1.1, Administration and Enforcement, \$21,375 in fiscal year 2006 and \$21,375 in fiscal year 2007 are authorized for the expenses of formal proceedings and appeals initiated under the *Procedural Rules for the Removal or Retirement of Judges* promulgated under Texas Constitution, Article V, Section 1-a, notwithstanding other funds expended by the Commission for that purpose. Any unexpended balance of funds authorized under this provision on August 31, 2006 may be allocated for the same purpose and for purposes other than formal proceedings and appeals for the fiscal year beginning September 1, 2006.

JUDICIARY SECTION, COMPTROLLER'S DEPARTMENT

	For the Years Ending August 31, August			Ending August 31,
	•	2006		2007
Method of Financing:			-	2007
General Revenue Fund				
General Revenue Fund, estimated	\$	72,463,017	\$	77,505,185
General Revenue - Insurance Companies Maintenance Tax and Insurance Department Fees		1,178,936		1,202,625
Subtotal, General Revenue Fund	\$	73,641,953	\$	78,707,810
Other Funds				
State Highway Fund No. 006		899,962		910,499
Felony Prosecutor Supplement Fund No. 303		2,350,000		2,350,000
Criminal Justice Grants		1,365,541		1,365,541
Judicial Fund No. 573		34,203,802		39,016,210
Subtotal, Other Funds	\$	38,819,305	\$	43,642,250
Total, Method of Financing	\$	112,461,258	\$	122,350,060
This bill pattern represents an estimated 100% of this agency's estimated total available funds for the biennium.				
Number of Full-Time-Equivalents (FTE):		583.0		583.0
Schedule of Exempt Positions:				
District Judges and Criminal District Judges (Strategy A.1.1.)		(427) \$125,000		(427) \$125,000
District Attorneys, Criminal District Attorneys				
and County Attorneys (Strategies B.1.1. and B.1.3.)		(14) 100,000		(13) 100,000
District Attorneys, Criminal District Attorneys				
and County Attorneys (Strategy B.1.3.) District Attorneys, Criminal District Attorneys		71,500		71,500
and County Attorneys (Strategy B.1.2.)		(139) 125,000		(140) 125,000
Assistant District Attorneys, Fifty-third Judicial District (Strategy B.1.7.)		(2) 2,808		(2) 2,808
Items of Appropriation:				
A. Goal: JUDICIAL SALARIES AND PAYMENTS				
A.1.1. Strategy: DISTRICT JUDGES	\$	51,302,473	\$	54,123,799
District Judge Salaries. Estimated. * A.1.2. Strategy: VISITING JUDGES - REGIONS	\$	4,280,694	\$	4,280,694
Per Gov. Code 74.061(c)(d)(h)(i); 24.006(f); and 32.302.				& UB
* A.1.3. Strategy: VISITING JUDGES - APPELLATE	\$	380,618	\$	380,618
		-		& UB

^{*}Modified by Article IV, Special Provisions, Section 16 and the passage of House Bill 11, Second Called Session relating to judicial compensation, which adds Other Funds (Judicial Fund 573) of \$12,054,795 in fiscal year 2006 and \$16,256,383 in fiscal year 2007 to indicated strategies.

Per Gov. Code 74.061(c)(d).

^{**}Modified by Article IX, Section 14.01 and the passage of Senate Bill 1863, Regular Session, which adds \$1,000,000 each fiscal year in General Revenue Funds to the visiting judge strategies.

(Continued)

	A 4 4 64 4 4 4 4 6 6 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Φ.	65.000	Φ.	65.000
*	A.1.4. Strategy: LOCAL ADMIN. JUDGE SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 659.012(d). Estimated.	\$	65,000	\$	65,000
	A.1.5. Strategy: DISTRICT JUDGES: TRAVEL Per Gov. Code 24.019, NTE \$1,500 per County in	\$	478,500	\$	478,500
	Multi-County Districts.				
	A.1.6. Strategy: JUDICIAL SALARY PER DIEM Per Gov. Code 74.003(c), 74.061 & Assigned	\$	302,000	\$	302,000
	District Judges. Estimated.				
	Total, Goal A: JUDICIAL SALARIES AND PAYMENTS	\$	56,809,285	\$	59,630,611
*	B. Goal: PROSECUTOR SALARIES AND PAYMENTS	Ф	1 211 460	ď.	1 262 720
•	B.1.1. Strategy: DISTRICT ATTORNEYS: SALARIES Per Gov. Code 41.013.	\$	1,211,460	\$	1,262,720
*	B.1.2. Strategy: PROFESSIONAL PROSECUTORS:				
	SALARIES	\$	16,694,670	\$	17,601,700
	Per Gov. Code 46.002; 46.003; and 46.005.				
	B.1.3. Strategy: FELONY PROSECUTORS: SALARIES	\$	257,520	\$	271,500
	Per Gov. Code 44.220; 45.175; and 45.280. B.1.4. Strategy: PROSECUTORS: SUBCHAPTER C	\$	126.022	\$	126.022
	Per Gov. Code 43.180 (Harris) and 41.201(1).	Ф	136,023	Ф	136,023
	Estimated.				
	B.1.5. Strategy: FELONY PROSECUTORS: TRAVEL	\$	280,000	\$	280,000
	Per Gov. Code 43.004, NTE \$1,750 per County in				
	Multi-County Districts.			_	
	B.1.6. Strategy: FELONY PROSECUTORS: EXPENSES	\$	5,110,988	\$	5,110,988
	Felony Prosecutors: Reimbursements for Expenses of Office.				
	B.1.7. Strategy: TRAVIS CO. ASST. DA				
	SUPPLEMENTS	\$	5,616	\$	5,616
	Travis County Assistant District Attorney				
	Salary Supplements.				
	Tatal Caal B. DDOOCCOUTOD OAL ADICO AND DAYMENTO	¢.	22 (0(277	Ф	24 ((0 5 47
	Total, Goal B: PROSECUTOR SALARIES AND PAYMENTS_	\$	23,696,277	\$	24,668,547
		\$	23,696,277	\$	24,668,547
	Total, Goal B: PROSECUTOR SALARIES AND PAYMENTS C. Goal: COLEVEL JUDGES SALARY SUPPLEMENTS County-Level Judges Salary Supplement Programs.	\$	23,696,277	\$	24,668,547
*	C. Goal: COLEVEL JUDGES SALARY SUPPLEMENTS County-Level Judges Salary Supplement Programs. C.1.1. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE GR/573	•		\$	
*	C. Goal: COLEVEL JUDGES SALARY SUPPLEMENTS County-Level Judges Salary Supplement Programs. C.1.1. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE GR/573 SUPPLEMENT	\$	23,696,277 1,942,500	\$	2,115,000
*	C. Goal: COLEVEL JUDGES SALARY SUPPLEMENTS County-Level Judges Salary Supplement Programs. C.1.1. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE GR/573 SUPPLEMENT Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 26.006.	•			
sţc	C. Goal: COLEVEL JUDGES SALARY SUPPLEMENTS County-Level Judges Salary Supplement Programs. C.1.1. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE GR/573 SUPPLEMENT Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 26.006. Estimated.	•			
*	C. Goal: COLEVEL JUDGES SALARY SUPPLEMENTS County-Level Judges Salary Supplement Programs. C.1.1. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE GR/573 SUPPLEMENT Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 26.006.	•		\$	2,115,000
*	C. Goal: COLEVEL JUDGES SALARY SUPPLEMENTS County-Level Judges Salary Supplement Programs. C.1.1. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE GR/573 SUPPLEMENT Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 26.006. Estimated. C.1.2. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE 573	\$	1,942,500	\$	
sţc	C. Goal: COLEVEL JUDGES SALARY SUPPLEMENTS County-Level Judges Salary Supplement Programs. C.1.1. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE GR/573 SUPPLEMENT Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 26.006. Estimated. C.1.2. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE 573 SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 26.006 from Receipts per Gov. Code 51.703(d). Estimated.	\$	1,942,500	\$	2,115,000
*	C. Goal: COLEVEL JUDGES SALARY SUPPLEMENTS County-Level Judges Salary Supplement Programs. C.1.1. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE GR/573 SUPPLEMENT Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 26.006. Estimated. C.1.2. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE 573 SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 26.006 from Receipts per Gov. Code 51.703(d). Estimated. C.1.3. Strategy: STATUTORY CO. JUDGE GR	\$	1,942,500 1,216,665	\$	2,115,000
*	C. Goal: COLEVEL JUDGES SALARY SUPPLEMENTS County-Level Judges Salary Supplement Programs. C.1.1. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE GR/573 SUPPLEMENT Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 26.006. Estimated. C.1.2. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE 573 SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 26.006 from Receipts per Gov. Code 51.703(d). Estimated. C.1.3. Strategy: STATUTORY CO. JUDGE GR SUPPLEMENT	\$	1,942,500	\$	2,115,000
*	C. Goal: COLEVEL JUDGES SALARY SUPPLEMENTS County-Level Judges Salary Supplement Programs. C.1.1. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE GR/573 SUPPLEMENT Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 26.006. Estimated. C.1.2. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE 573 SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 26.006 from Receipts per Gov. Code 51.703(d). Estimated. C.1.3. Strategy: STATUTORY CO. JUDGE GR SUPPLEMENT \$5,000 Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 25.0015.	\$	1,942,500 1,216,665	\$	2,115,000
ж	C. Goal: COLEVEL JUDGES SALARY SUPPLEMENTS County-Level Judges Salary Supplement Programs. C.1.1. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE GR/573 SUPPLEMENT Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 26.006. Estimated. C.1.2. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE 573 SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 26.006 from Receipts per Gov. Code 51.703(d). Estimated. C.1.3. Strategy: STATUTORY CO. JUDGE GR SUPPLEMENT	\$	1,942,500 1,216,665 775,000	\$	2,115,000
*	C. Goal: COLEVEL JUDGES SALARY SUPPLEMENTS County-Level Judges Salary Supplement Programs. C.1.1. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE GR/573 SUPPLEMENT Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 26.006. Estimated. C.1.2. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE 573 SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 26.006 from Receipts per Gov. Code 51.703(d). Estimated. C.1.3. Strategy: STATUTORY CO. JUDGE GR SUPPLEMENT \$5,000 Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 25.0015. Estimated. C.1.4. Strategy: STATUTORY CO. JUDGE 573 SUPPLEMENT	\$	1,942,500 1,216,665	\$	2,115,000
*	C. Goal: COLEVEL JUDGES SALARY SUPPLEMENTS County-Level Judges Salary Supplement Programs. C.1.1. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE GR/573 SUPPLEMENT Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 26.006. Estimated. C.1.2. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE 573 SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 26.006 from Receipts per Gov. Code 51.703(d). Estimated. C.1.3. Strategy: STATUTORY CO. JUDGE GR SUPPLEMENT \$5,000 Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 25.0015. Estimated. C.1.4. Strategy: STATUTORY CO. JUDGE 573 SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 25.0015 from Receipts per Gov.	\$	1,942,500 1,216,665 775,000	\$	2,115,000 1,216,665 775,000
*	C. Goal: COLEVEL JUDGES SALARY SUPPLEMENTS County-Level Judges Salary Supplement Programs. C.1.1. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE GR/573 SUPPLEMENT Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 26.006. Estimated. C.1.2. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE 573 SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 26.006 from Receipts per Gov. Code 51.703(d). Estimated. C.1.3. Strategy: STATUTORY CO. JUDGE GR SUPPLEMENT \$5,000 Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 25.0015. Estimated. C.1.4. Strategy: STATUTORY CO. JUDGE 573 SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 25.0015 from Receipts per Gov. Code 51.702(d). Estimated.	\$	1,942,500 1,216,665 775,000	\$	2,115,000 1,216,665 775,000
*	C. Goal: COLEVEL JUDGES SALARY SUPPLEMENTS County-Level Judges Salary Supplement Programs. C.1.1. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE GR/573 SUPPLEMENT Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 26.006. Estimated. C.1.2. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE 573 SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 26.006 from Receipts per Gov. Code 51.703(d). Estimated. C.1.3. Strategy: STATUTORY CO. JUDGE GR SUPPLEMENT \$5,000 Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 25.0015. Estimated. C.1.4. Strategy: STATUTORY CO. JUDGE 573 SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 25.0015 from Receipts per Gov. Code 51.702(d). Estimated. C.1.5. Strategy: STATUTORY PROBATE JUDGE	\$ \$	1,942,500 1,216,665 775,000 4,974,851	\$ \$ \$	2,115,000 1,216,665 775,000 4,974,851
*	C. Goal: COLEVEL JUDGES SALARY SUPPLEMENTS County-Level Judges Salary Supplement Programs. C.1.1. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE GR/573 SUPPLEMENT Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 26.006. Estimated. C.1.2. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE 573 SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 26.006 from Receipts per Gov. Code 51.703(d). Estimated. C.1.3. Strategy: STATUTORY CO. JUDGE GR SUPPLEMENT \$5,000 Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 25.0015. Estimated. C.1.4. Strategy: STATUTORY CO. JUDGE 573 SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 25.0015 from Receipts per Gov. Code 51.702(d). Estimated.	\$	1,942,500 1,216,665 775,000	\$ \$ \$	2,115,000 1,216,665 775,000
*	C. Goal: COLEVEL JUDGES SALARY SUPPLEMENTS County-Level Judges Salary Supplement Programs. C.1.1. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE GR/573 SUPPLEMENT Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 26.006. Estimated. C.1.2. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE 573 SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 26.006 from Receipts per Gov. Code 51.703(d). Estimated. C.1.3. Strategy: STATUTORY CO. JUDGE GR SUPPLEMENT \$5,000 Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 25.0015. Estimated. C.1.4. Strategy: STATUTORY CO. JUDGE 573 SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 25.0015 from Receipts per Gov. Code 51.702(d). Estimated. C.1.5. Strategy: STATUTORY PROBATE JUDGE SUPPLEMENT	\$ \$	1,942,500 1,216,665 775,000 4,974,851	\$ \$ \$	2,115,000 1,216,665 775,000 4,974,851
*	C. Goal: COLEVEL JUDGES SALARY SUPPLEMENTS County-Level Judges Salary Supplement Programs. C.1.1. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE GR/573 SUPPLEMENT Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 26.006. Estimated. C.1.2. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE 573 SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 26.006 from Receipts per Gov. Code 51.703(d). Estimated. C.1.3. Strategy: STATUTORY CO. JUDGE GR SUPPLEMENT \$5,000 Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 25.0015. Estimated. C.1.4. Strategy: STATUTORY CO. JUDGE 573 SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 25.0015 from Receipts per Gov. Code 51.702(d). Estimated. C.1.5. Strategy: STATUTORY PROBATE JUDGE SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 25.00211 from Receipts per Gov. Code 51.704(c). Estimated.	\$ \$ \$	1,942,500 1,216,665 775,000 4,974,851 923,311	\$ \$ \$	2,115,000 1,216,665 775,000 4,974,851 923,311
*	C. Goal: COLEVEL JUDGES SALARY SUPPLEMENTS County-Level Judges Salary Supplement Programs. C.1.1. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE GR/573 SUPPLEMENT Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 26.006. Estimated. C.1.2. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE 573 SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 26.006 from Receipts per Gov. Code 51.703(d). Estimated. C.1.3. Strategy: STATUTORY CO. JUDGE GR SUPPLEMENT \$5,000 Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 25.0015. Estimated. C.1.4. Strategy: STATUTORY CO. JUDGE 573 SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 25.0015 from Receipts per Gov. Code 51.702(d). Estimated. C.1.5. Strategy: STATUTORY PROBATE JUDGE SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 25.00211 from Receipts per Gov	\$ \$ \$	1,942,500 1,216,665 775,000 4,974,851	\$ \$ \$	2,115,000 1,216,665 775,000 4,974,851
*	C. Goal: COLEVEL JUDGES SALARY SUPPLEMENTS County-Level Judges Salary Supplement Programs. C.1.1. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE GR/573 SUPPLEMENT Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 26.006. Estimated. C.1.2. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE 573 SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 26.006 from Receipts per Gov. Code 51.703(d). Estimated. C.1.3. Strategy: STATUTORY CO. JUDGE GR SUPPLEMENT \$5,000 Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 25.0015. Estimated. C.1.4. Strategy: STATUTORY CO. JUDGE 573 SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 25.0015 from Receipts per Gov. Code 51.702(d). Estimated. C.1.5. Strategy: STATUTORY PROBATE JUDGE SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 25.00211 from Receipts per Gov Code 51.704(c). Estimated. Total, Goal C: COLEVEL JUDGES SALARY SUPPLEMENTS	\$ \$ \$	1,942,500 1,216,665 775,000 4,974,851 923,311	\$ \$ \$	2,115,000 1,216,665 775,000 4,974,851 923,311
*	C. Goal: COLEVEL JUDGES SALARY SUPPLEMENTS County-Level Judges Salary Supplement Programs. C.1.1. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE GR/573 SUPPLEMENT Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 26.006. Estimated. C.1.2. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE 573 SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 26.006 from Receipts per Gov. Code 51.703(d). Estimated. C.1.3. Strategy: STATUTORY CO. JUDGE GR SUPPLEMENT \$5,000 Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 25.0015. Estimated. C.1.4. Strategy: STATUTORY CO. JUDGE 573 SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 25.0015 from Receipts per Gov. Code 51.702(d). Estimated. C.1.5. Strategy: STATUTORY PROBATE JUDGE SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 25.00211 from Receipts per Gov. Code 51.704(c). Estimated.	\$ \$ \$	1,942,500 1,216,665 775,000 4,974,851 923,311 9,832,327	\$ \$ \$	2,115,000 1,216,665 775,000 4,974,851 923,311
*	C. Goal: COLEVEL JUDGES SALARY SUPPLEMENTS County-Level Judges Salary Supplement Programs. C.1.1. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE GR/573 SUPPLEMENT Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 26.006. Estimated. C.1.2. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE 573 SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 26.006 from Receipts per Gov. Code 51.703(d). Estimated. C.1.3. Strategy: STATUTORY CO. JUDGE GR SUPPLEMENT \$5,000 Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 25.0015. Estimated. C.1.4. Strategy: STATUTORY CO. JUDGE 573 SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 25.0015 from Receipts per Gov. Code 51.702(d). Estimated. C.1.5. Strategy: STATUTORY PROBATE JUDGE SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 25.00211 from Receipts per Gov Code 51.704(c). Estimated. Total, Goal C: COLEVEL JUDGES SALARY SUPPLEMENTS D. Goal: SPECIAL PROGRAMS D.1.1. Strategy: ASST. DIST. ATTYS LONGEVITY PAY	\$ \$ \$	1,942,500 1,216,665 775,000 4,974,851 923,311	\$ \$ \$	2,115,000 1,216,665 775,000 4,974,851 923,311
*	C. Goal: COLEVEL JUDGES SALARY SUPPLEMENTS County-Level Judges Salary Supplement Programs. C.1.1. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE GR/573 SUPPLEMENT Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 26.006. Estimated. C.1.2. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE 573 SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 26.006 from Receipts per Gov. Code 51.703(d). Estimated. C.1.3. Strategy: STATUTORY CO. JUDGE GR SUPPLEMENT \$5,000 Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 25.0015. Estimated. C.1.4. Strategy: STATUTORY CO. JUDGE 573 SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 25.0015 from Receipts per Gov. Code 51.702(d). Estimated. C.1.5. Strategy: STATUTORY PROBATE JUDGE SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 25.00211 from Receipts per Gov Code 51.704(c). Estimated. Total, Goal C: COLEVEL JUDGES SALARY SUPPLEMENTS D. Goal: SPECIAL PROGRAMS D.1.1. Strategy: ASST. DIST. ATTYS LONGEVITY PAY Per Gov. Code 41.255(d). Estimated.	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,942,500 1,216,665 775,000 4,974,851 923,311 9,832,327 2,350,000	\$ \$ \$ \$	2,115,000 1,216,665 775,000 4,974,851 923,311 10,004,827 2,350,000
*	C. Goal: COLEVEL JUDGES SALARY SUPPLEMENTS County-Level Judges Salary Supplement Programs. C.1.1. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE GR/573 SUPPLEMENT Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 26.006. Estimated. C.1.2. Strategy: CONST. CO. JUDGE 573 SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 26.006 from Receipts per Gov. Code 51.703(d). Estimated. C.1.3. Strategy: STATUTORY CO. JUDGE GR SUPPLEMENT \$5,000 Salary Supplement per Gov. Code 25.0015. Estimated. C.1.4. Strategy: STATUTORY CO. JUDGE 573 SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 25.0015 from Receipts per Gov. Code 51.702(d). Estimated. C.1.5. Strategy: STATUTORY PROBATE JUDGE SUPPLEMENT Per Gov. Code 25.00211 from Receipts per Gov Code 51.704(c). Estimated. Total, Goal C: COLEVEL JUDGES SALARY SUPPLEMENTS D. Goal: SPECIAL PROGRAMS D.1.1. Strategy: ASST. DIST. ATTYS LONGEVITY PAY	\$ \$ \$ \$	1,942,500 1,216,665 775,000 4,974,851 923,311 9,832,327	\$ \$ \$ \$	2,115,000 1,216,665 775,000 4,974,851 923,311

^{*}Modified by Article IV, Special Provisions, Section 16 and the passage of House Bill 11, Second Called Session relating to judicial compensation, which adds Other Funds (Judicial Fund 573) of \$12,054,795 in fiscal year 2006 and \$16,256,383 in fiscal year 2007 to indicated strategies.

(Continued)

	D.1.3. Strategy: WITNESS EXPENSES	\$	1,100,000	\$	1,100,000
	Per Code of Criminal Procedure, Articles 24.28 and 35.27. Estimated.				
	D.1.4. Strategy: PUBLIC INTEGRITY UNIT, TRAVIS				
	CO	\$	3,358,252	\$	3,396,116
	Public Integrity Unit, 53 rd Judicial District.				& UB
	D.1.5. Strategy: SPECIAL PROSECUTION UNIT,				
	WALKER CO	\$	2,173,926	\$	2,173,926
	Special Prosecution Unit, Walker County. D.1.6. Strategy: DEATH PENALTY REPRESENTATION	\$	500,000	\$	500,000
	b.1.0. dirategy. DEATH FENALT I REPRESENTATION	Φ	300,000	Ф	& UB
	Death Penalty Habeas Representation.				
	D.1.7. Strategy: NATIONAL CENTER FOR STATE COURTS	\$	276,158	\$	276,158
	COUNTS	Ψ	270,130	Ψ	& UB
	National Center for State Courts. Estimated.				
K	D.1.8. Strategy: JUROR PAY	\$	7,423,000	\$	12,981,000 & UB
	Juror Pay. Estimated.				ж ов
	Total Cool Broppolini ppoopano	Ф	22 122 260	Ф	20.046.075
	Total, Goal D: SPECIAL PROGRAMS	\$	22,123,369	\$	28,046,075
	Grand Total, JUDICIARY SECTION,				
	COMPTROLLER'S DEPARTMENT	\$	112,461,258	\$	122,350,060
	Object-of-Expense Informational Listing:				
	Salaries and Wages	\$	77,564,076	\$	81,358,833
	Other Personnel Costs		1,352,309		1,389,012
	Professional Fees and Services		153,930		153,930
	Fuels and Lubricants		13,115		13,115
	Consumable Supplies		55,300		55,300
	Utilities		25,675		25,675
	Travel		804,285		804,285
	Rent - Building		344,523		344,523
	Rent - Machine and Other		19,624		19,624
	Other Operating Expense		1,732,350		1,732,350
	Grants		30,396,071		36,453,413
	Total, Object-of-Expense Informational Listing	\$	112,461,258	\$	122,350,060
	Estimated Allocations for Employee Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made Elsewhere in this Act:				
	Employee Benefits				
	Retirement	\$	1,139,609	\$	1,212,075
	Group Insurance		6,217,794		6,721,916
	Social Security		4,498,325		4,645,056
	Benefits Replacement		350,561		333,033
	Subtotal, Employee Benefits	\$	12,206,289	\$	12,912,080
		-	, ,		, = = , = 3 0
	Total, Estimated Allocations for Employee Benefits and Debt Service Appropriations Made				
	Elsewhere in this Act	\$	12,206,289	\$	12,912,080
		Ψ	12,200,209	Ψ	12,712,000

1. Appropriation Source. Pursuant to Government Code § 21.006, all revenues to, and fund balances in, the Judicial Fund No. 573, which are not deposited into the Basic Civil Legal Services Account, are hereby appropriated to the Judiciary Section, Comptroller's Department. In the event that revenues into Fund No. 573 during a fiscal year exceed the amount identified in the Method of Financing as being appropriated out of Fund No. 573 in this Act for that fiscal year the difference shall be appropriated to the Judiciary Section, Comptroller's Department and the General Revenue Fund appropriation for that fiscal year shall be reduced by a like amount (estimated to be \$0).

^{*}Strategy and appropriation (General Revenue Fund) added pursuant to Article IX, Section 14.53 and the passage of Senate Bill 1704, Regular Session.

(Continued)

- 2. Salary Limitation, District Judges. Funds appropriated above in Strategy A.1.1. shall be expended for the payment of the state salary for each district judge. Unless otherwise provided by law, in no event shall the aggregate, excluding any county supplement, of the state paid salary and any service retirement annuity paid pursuant to Government Code, Chapter 834 exceed the base salary for any district court judge during any twelve month period. If a district judge draws a salary as a district judge and a retirement annuity pursuant to Government Code, Chapter 834, and the combined amounts exceed the annual base salary for a district judge, the amount expended out of Strategy A.1.1. for the state salary of that district judge shall be reduced by an amount which will bring the total amount received by the judge to the base salary paid a district judge for a 12 month period. This provision does not apply to either retired or former judges or justices called to duty as visiting judges pursuant to Government Code, Chapters 74 and 75 and § 24.604 of the Government Code.
- 3. Trial Court Measures. Each district court judge shall provide an annual report no later than September 20, to the Office of Court Administration, indicating the Clearance Rate during the previous year. A Clearance Rate is calculated by dividing the total number of cases disposed by the total number of cases added to the docket.
- 4. Public Integrity Unit: Appropriation Source, Unexpended Balances, and Performance Reporting. Out of the funds appropriated above in Strategy D.1.4. the following amounts are appropriated specifically to the Public Integrity Unit for the following purposes:

	For the Years Ending		
	August 31, 2006	August 31, 2007	
Motor Fuel Tax Fraud Investigations Method of Financing, State Highway Fund No. 006	\$ 899,962 \$	910,499 & UB	
Insurance Fraud Investigations Method of Financing, General Revenue Fund - Insurance Companies Maintenance Tax and Insurance Department Fees	1,178,936	1,202,625 & UB	
General State Government Investigations Method of Financing, General Revenue Fund	1,279,354	1,282,992 & UB	
Total, Method of Financing	\$ 3,358,252 \$ 3	3,396,116 & UB	

In no event shall the funds granted to the Public Integrity Unit exceed the amounts specified. In no event shall any funds appropriated above out of Judicial Fund No. 573 be allocated to the Public Integrity Unit. Any unexpended balances from appropriations made to the Public Integrity Unit for fiscal year 2006 are appropriated to the Public Integrity Unit for fiscal year 2007 for the same purposes.

Included in amounts appropriated above is \$596,902 to maintain 40.0 staff positions in 2006-07 with performance-based pay increases and related benefits in the General State, Insurance Fraud, and Motor Fuels Tax Fraud Divisions, which includes an additional 1.0 forensic analyst position in the Motor Fuels Tax Fraud Division.

The Public Integrity Unit shall submit a report each January 1 to the Legislative Budget Board and the Governor for the preceding fiscal year ending August 31. The report must be in a format prescribed by the Legislative Budget Board and the Governor and must include annual statistical information on fraud investigations of the Public Integrity Unit.

5. Special Prosecution Unit: Appropriation Source, Unexpended Balances, and Performance Reporting. Out of the funds appropriated above in Strategy D.1.5. and under the authority of Article 104.003, Code of Criminal Procedure, and Article V, § 21 of the Texas Constitution, Walker County is allocated an amount not to exceed \$1,365,541 in Criminal Justice Grants and \$808,385 from General Revenue in fiscal year 2006; and \$1,365,541 in Criminal Justice Grants and \$808,385 from General Revenue in fiscal year 2007, through the Judiciary Section, Comptroller's Department, for the payment of salaries and other necessary expenses for the operation of the Special Prosecution Unit. The funds are to be used for the investigation and

(Continued)

prosecution of felony offenses committed by prisoners of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice; for the investigation and prosecution of other felonies and misdemeanors committed by agency employees and others when criminal conduct affects the operation of the agency in the various counties of the State where Texas Department of Criminal Justice facilities are located; and for the civil commitment of sexually violent predators program.

The funds appropriated above in Strategy D.1.5. shall be used to employ the services of legal and support staff plus the payment of their necessary capital and operating expenses in carrying out the purposes of the Special Prosecution Unit as established by its Board of Directors which is made up of those elected prosecutors who have Texas Department of Criminal Justice facilities within their respective counties.

Out of amounts appropriated above in Strategy D.1.5, an amount not to exceed \$182,253 each fiscal year out of the General Revenue Fund may be used only for the purposes of funding pay increases and related benefits costs for the following positions in the Criminal and Civil Divisions:

Headcount	Division/Title	2004-05 Salary	2006-07 Salary Limit
	Criminal and Civil Divi	sions	
1	Chief Prosecutor	\$85,000	\$96,081
	Criminal Division		
1	Prosecutor III	\$63,963	\$73,571
3	Prosecutor III	\$58,633	\$67,440
1	Prosecutor II	\$56,408	\$64,881
1	Prosecutor II	\$52,000	\$59,811
1	Prosecutor I	\$51,750	\$59,523
1	Prosecutor I	\$49,680	\$57,142
1	Chief Investigator	\$45,023	\$51,786
1	Investigator III	\$43,988	\$50,595
2	Investigator II	\$38,000	\$43,708
3	Investigator II	\$37,000	\$42,557
1	Investigator I/Paralegal	\$31,982	\$36,786
1	Investigator I/Paralegal	\$30,015	\$34,524
1	Office Administrator	\$27,945	\$32,142
1	Victim Asst. Coord.	\$19,665	\$22,619
	Civil Division		
1	Section Chief	\$63,000	\$65,583
1	Appellate Attorney	\$49,000	\$51,009
1	Attorney	\$48,000	\$49,968
1	Chief Investigator	\$40,000	\$41,640
1	Investigator	\$38,000	\$39,558
1	Office Administrator	\$31,000	\$32,271
1	Paralegal	\$25,000	\$26,025

Walker County is the designated agency to administer the funds provided for the support of the Special Prosecution Unit. Walker County shall submit a voucher containing an itemized statement of expenses to include salaries, fringe benefits and authorized expenses incurred to the Judiciary Section, Comptroller's Department each month of the fiscal year. Such vouchers shall be reviewed by the Walker County Auditor's Office to ensure compliance with applicable state and county regulations concerning the expenditures of public funds. Upon receipt of said vouchers, the Judiciary Section, Comptroller's Department shall issue warrants each month to reimburse Walker County. The total reimbursement each year shall not exceed the amount appropriated.

Any unexpended balances from appropriations out of the General Revenue Fund made to the Special Prosecution Unit for fiscal year 2006 are appropriated to the Special Prosecution Unit in fiscal year 2007 for the same purposes.

(Continued)

The Special Prosecution Unit shall submit a report each January 1 to the Legislative Budget Board and the Governor for the preceding fiscal year ending August 31. The report must be in a format prescribed by the Legislative Budget Board and the Governor and must include annual statistical information on activities of the Special Prosecution Unit.

- **6. Witness Expenses.** The appropriation made above to the Judiciary Section, Comptroller's Department for the payment of witness expenses under the Code of Criminal Procedure is based on legislative intent that such expenses are not paid at rates that exceed the maximum rates provided by law for state employees.
- 7. Felony Prosecutors: Expenses. For the payment of salaries of assistant district attorneys, investigators and/or secretarial help and expenses, including travel for these personnel as determined by the prosecutors designated in Strategies B.1.l, B.1.2, B.1.3, and B.1.4. Reimbursement shall be limited to expenses for supplies or items that would normally be consumed or utilized within the fiscal year for which the funds in this item are designated. Payments shall not exceed \$34,450 per district per year in multi-county districts and \$17,050 per district per year in single-county districts and \$34,450 for both multi-county and single-county districts for those district attorneys, criminal district attorneys and county attorneys performing the duties of a district attorney prohibited from the private practice of law per Government Code, Chapter 46; and shall be made available in three equal installments issued on the first day of September, January and May of each fiscal year. These payments shall be made directly to the district attorney, criminal district attorney, or county attorney for the purposes of disbursement as required by the attorney. The attorney receiving these payments shall be responsible to the Comptroller for accounting for all expenditures of these funds.
- **8.** Administration of Visiting Judge Strategies. It is the intent of the Legislature that the Judiciary Section, Comptroller's Department shall maintain a system to account for all expenditures in each fiscal year made for the services of 1) visiting former judges serving in the judicial regions, 2) visiting former judges serving in the appellate courts, 3) visiting retired judges serving in the judicial regions, and 4) visiting retired judges serving in the appellate courts.

RETIREMENT AND GROUP INSURANCE

		For the Years Ending			Ending
		A	august 31, 2006	_	August 31, 2007
	Method of Financing:				
	General Revenue Fund, estimated	\$	47,296,328	\$	49,701,589
	General Revenue Dedicated Accounts, estimated		55,183		57,620
	Federal Funds, estimated		31,767		33,149
	Other Special State Funds, estimated		1,632,965		1,659,898
	Total, Method of Financing	\$	49,016,243	\$	51,452,256
	Items of Appropriation:				
	A. Goal: EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM				
*	A.1.1. Strategy: RETIREMENT CONTRIBUTIONS	\$	3,153,926	\$	3,266,679
	Retirement Contributions. Estimated.				
	A.1.2. Strategy: GROUP INSURANCE	\$	10,861,793	\$	11,682,327
	Group Insurance. Estimated.				
	A.1.3. Strategy: JUDICIAL RETIREMENT SYSTEM -	_		_	
	PLAN 2	\$	8,924,368	\$	9,051,094
**	Judicial Retirement System - Plan 2. Estimated.				
**	A.1.4. Strategy: JUDICIAL RETIREMENT SYSTEM -	ф	24.054.154	Φ.	25 452 454
	PLAN 1	\$	26,076,156	\$	27,452,156
	Judicial Retirement System - Plan 1. Estimated.				
	Total, Goal A: EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM	\$	49,016,243	\$	51,452,256
	Grand Total, RETIREMENT AND GROUP INSURANCE	\$	49,016,243	\$	51,452,256

^{*}Modified by Article IV, Special Provisions, Section 16, and the enactment of House Bill 11, Second Called Session, relating to judicial compensation, which increase retirement \$158,533 in fiscal year 2006 and \$211,377 in fiscal year 2007.

SOCIAL SECURITY AND BENEFIT REPLACEMENT PAY

		For the Years Ending		
	_	August 31, 2006	_	August 31, 2007
Method of Financing:				
General Revenue Fund, estimated	\$	8,113,731	\$	8,291,551
General Revenue Dedicated Accounts, estimated		30,331		30,792
Federal Funds, estimated		15,979		16,282
Other Special State Funds, estimated		70,372		70,634
Total, Method of Financing	\$	8,230,413	\$	8,409,259
Items of Appropriation:				
A. Goal: SOCIAL SECURITY/BENEFIT REPLACEMENT	Т			
Comptroller - Social Security. A.1.1. Strategy: STATE MATCH – EMPLOYER	\$	7,622,882	\$	7,832,104
State Match – Employer. Estimated.	•	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	•	,,,,,
A.1.2. Strategy: BENEFIT REPLACEMENT PA	Y \$	607,531	\$	577,155
Benefit Replacement Pay. Estimated.		,		
Total, Goal A: SOCIAL SECURITY/BENEFIT R	REPLACEMENT\$	8,230,413	\$	8,409,259
Crond Total COCIAL SECURITY AND DENE				
Grand Total, SOCIAL SECURITY AND BENE REPLACEMENT PAY	:rii \$	8,230,413	\$	8,409,259

^{*}Modified by Article IV, Special Provisions, Section 16, and enactment of House Bill 11, Second Called Session, relating to judicial compensation, which added a total of \$181,164 in fiscal year 2006 and \$241,552 in fiscal year 2007.

^{**}Modified by Article IV, Special Provisions, Section 16, and the enactment of House Bill 11, Second Called Session, relating to judicial compensation, which increase JRS I retirement \$3,034,000 in fiscal year 2006 and \$3,645,000 in fiscal year 2007.

LEASE PAYMENTS

	For the Years August 31, 2006			S Ending August 31, 2007	
Method of Financing:					
General Revenue Fund	\$	2,498,229	\$	2,495,750	
Total, Method of Financing	\$	2,498,229	\$	2,495,750	
Items of Appropriation: A. Goal: FINANCE CAPITAL PROJECTS A.1.1. Strategy: LEASE PAYMENTS	\$	2,498,229	\$	2,495,750 & UB	
To TBPC for Payment to TPFA.				& ОВ	
Grand Total, LEASE PAYMENTS	\$	2,498,229	\$	2,495,750	

SPECIAL PROVISIONS - JUDICIARY

Sec. 2. Judicial Salary Enforcement. Each District Court Judge and Justice of the Courts of Appeals shall file a sworn statement with the Comptroller of Public Accounts setting forth the yearly amounts to be received from state and county as salary payments during the ensuing fiscal year. Amended statements shall be filed setting forth any change in the salary rate which occurs during a fiscal year.

For the purpose of salary payments by the state, the Comptroller of Public Accounts shall determine from the filed statements that the required salary differential set out in Government Code § 659.012 is maintained, unless otherwise provided by law. In the event a salary is in excess of the differential provided by law, the Comptroller is directed to reduce the state's portion of that salary by the amount of excess.

The appropriations for salaries of District Court Judges and Justices of Courts of Appeals are made contingent upon strict compliance with the provisions of this section and the Comptroller shall have no authority to issue a warrant for salaries which violate these provisions.

- **Sec. 3. Public Integrity Unit.** In Strategy D.1.4, Public Integrity Unit, Judiciary Section, Comptroller's Department, warrants shall be drawn as necessary to reimburse the District Attorney of the 53rd Judicial District for salary and expenses previously incurred. The District Attorney shall submit a voucher containing an itemized statement of expenses to substantiate each claim. This appropriation shall be allocated in three equal installments over the fiscal year. Any unencumbered, unexpended balance existing in a previous allocation period may be used in a subsequent period provided that period occurs within the same biennium.
- **Sec. 4. Restriction, New District Courts.** No new district court may be funded until it has been approved by the commissioner's court of the county or a majority of the counties in that district.
- **Sec. 5. Transfer of Cases.** The Chief Justices of the 14 Courts of Appeals are encouraged to cooperate with the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court to transfer cases between appellate courts which are in neighboring jurisdictions in order to equalize the disparity between the workloads of the various courts of appeals.
- **Sec. 6. Voice Recording.** It is the intent of the Legislature that the Supreme Court and Court of Criminal Appeals will promulgate rules authorizing the use of voice or video recording technology, including CD ROM, to make a record of court proceedings. The Legislature does not intend that the recording be a substitute for the written transcript.
- **Sec. 7. Systems Compatibility.** No funds shall be utilized to purchase information technology unless it interfaces with other courts and with the Office of Court Administration and complies with the plans filed with the Legislative Budget Board.
- **Sec. 8. Judicial Internship Program.** It is the intent of the Legislature that the Judicial Branch cooperate with law schools to establish a judicial internship program for Texas appellate and trial courts. The Judicial Branch is encouraged to work with the Texas Judicial Council in the development of the judicial internship program.

SPECIAL PROVISIONS - JUDICIARY

(Continued)

Sec. 9. Appellate Court Exemptions. The following provisions of Article IX of this Act do not apply to the appellate courts:

- a. Article IX, § 5.08, Limitation on Travel Expenditures
- b. Article IX, § 6.14, Limitation on State Employment Levels
- c. Article IX, § 6.16, Limit on Expenditures-Capital Budget
- d. Article IX, § 6.24, Performance Rewards and Penalties
- Sec. 10. Appropriation: Unexpended Balances Between Fiscal Years within the Biennium. Any unexpended balances from appropriations made to the appellate courts for fiscal year 2006 are hereby appropriated to the same court for fiscal year 2007 for the same purposes.
- **Sec. 11. Intermediate Appellate Court Local Funding Information.** The Office of Court Administration shall assist the appellate courts in the submission of a report for local funding information each January 1 to the Legislative Budget Board and the Governor for the preceding fiscal year ending August 31. The report must be in a format prescribed by the Legislative Budget Board and the Governor.
- Sec. 12. Appellate Court Salary Limits. It is the intent of the Legislature that no intermediate appellate court may pay more than one chief staff attorney promoted or hired after September 1, 2005, more than \$84,000 annually under this provision. Further, it is the intent of the Legislature that no intermediate appellate court may pay other permanent legal staff hired or promoted after September 1, 2005 more than \$72,500 annually. This provision does not apply to law clerk positions at any appellate court.
- Sec. 13. Interagency Contracts for Assigned Judges for Appellate Courts. Out of funds appropriated in this article to Strategies A.1.1, Appellate Court Operations, the Supreme Court of Texas, the Court of Criminal Appeals, or any of the 14 Courts of Appeals may enter into a contract with the Office of the Comptroller for fiscal years 2006 and 2007, for the purpose of reimbursing the Comptroller for amounts expended for judges assigned under Chapter 74, Government Code to hear cases of the appellate courts. It is the intent of the Legislature that any amounts reimbursed under this contract for judges assigned to the appellate courts are in addition to amounts appropriated for the use of assigned judges in Strategy A.1.3, Visiting Judges Appellate in the Judiciary Section, Comptroller's Department.
- **Sec. 14. Appellate Court Transfer Authority.** The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of Texas, the Presiding Judge of the Court of Criminal Appeals, or the Chair of the Council of Chief Justices is authorized to transfer funds between appellate courts, notwithstanding any other provision in this Act and subject to prior approval of any transfer of funds by the Legislative Budget Board and the Governor. Any such transfer shall be made for the purpose of efficient and effective appellate court operations and management of court caseloads. It is the intent of the Legislature that transfers made under this provision are addressed by the Legislative Budget Board and the Governor in reviewing amounts requested in the appellate courts' Legislative Appropriations Request for the 2008-09 biennium.
- **Sec. 15. Schedule of Exempt Positions**. Notwithstanding other provisions of this bill, including Article IX, § 3.05 setting maximum salaries for exempt positions, the chief justice of the Supreme Court of Texas may set the rate of compensation for the Administrative Director of the Office of Court Administration in an amount not to exceed the Maximum Salary but no less than the Minimum Salary for the appropriate salary group for the Administrative Director as listed in the bill pattern for the Office of Court Administration, Schedule of Exempt Positions. Any salary increase from appropriated funds within the limits provided by this provision must be in writing; signed by the chief justice of the Supreme Court of Texas; and submitted to the Governor, the Legislative Budget Board and the Comptroller.
- * Sec. 16. Appropriation for a Judicial Salary Increase.
 - a. From fees and court costs collected during the 2006-07 biennium that may be spent for this purpose, the amounts of \$17,500,000 in fiscal year 2006 and \$23,461,312 in fiscal year

^{*}Section 16 added pursuant to the passage of House Bill 11, Second Called Session relating to judicial compensation. Appropriated Amounts of \$17,500,000 in fiscal year 2006 and \$23,461,312 in fiscal year 2007 are allocated in the bill patterns for the sixteen appellate courts, the Judiciary Section - Comptroller's Department, and Article IV end-of-article appropriations for employee benefits.

SPECIAL PROVISIONS - JUDICIARY

(Continued)

2007 are appropriated to the Judiciary Section, Office of the Comptroller of Public Accounts, to fund the annual salary increase and related benefits costs for judicial officers provided by House Bill No. 11, Acts of the 79th Legislature, 2nd Called Session, 2005, and for other court personnel linked to the salary of state judges in the Government Code and to fund the creation of new district courts as described in Subsection (i) of this section. The salary increase for which the money is appropriated is to begin with the first full pay period that begins on or after December 1, 2005. Of the total amount appropriated, an amount estimated to be \$34,242,312 is appropriated out of the Judicial Fund No. 573 and an amount estimated to be \$6,719,000 is appropriated out of the General Revenue Fund.

- b. Any increase in employee benefits costs associated with the salary increase provided by House Bill No. 11, Acts of the 79th Legislature, 2nd Called Session, 2005, and this section shall be paid only out of the appropriations made in Subsection (a) of this section, including \$6,679,000 out of the General Revenue Fund for the purposes of funding the increased costs to the Judicial Retirement System Plan I.
- c. Provisions requiring salaries and benefits to be proportional to the source of funds shall apply to all sums allocated under this section, except as otherwise provided. Each court or agency shall pay the increase in compensation from funds held in the state treasury and from local funds in the same proportion as the employee's regular compensation.
- d. The Comptroller of Public Accounts shall promulgate rules and regulations as necessary to administer this section. Funds appropriated in this section shall be allocated to each court or agency, and to the appropriate employee benefit appropriation items, in accordance with such rules and regulations and may be used only for the purpose of providing a salary increase and paying associated employee benefit costs.
- e. In the appropriations to the Judiciary Section, Office of the Comptroller of Public Accounts, made by Senate Bill No. 1, Acts of the 79th Legislature, Regular Session, 2005, the Comptroller of Public Accounts shall increase appropriations out of the Judicial Fund No. 573 by \$3,054,000 in fiscal year 2006 and by \$3,665,000 in fiscal year 2007 for Strategy A. 1.1, District Judges, and shall decrease the appropriations out of the General Revenue Fund for that strategy by those amounts.
- f. The description for Strategy A.1.4, Local Administrative Judge Supplement in the bill pattern for the Judiciary Section, Office of the Comptroller of Public Accounts, in Senate Bill No. 1, Acts of the 79th Legislature, Regular Session, 2005, is replaced with the following description: Per Government Code, Section 659.012(d).
- g. The amount of \$20,000 out of the General Revenue Fund for each fiscal year is included in amounts appropriated in Subsection (a) of this section for the purposes of funding \$5,000 salary supplements to additional judges eligible for such supplements under Section 659.012(d), Government Code.
- h. The name and description for Strategy C.1.1, Constitutional County Judge General Revenue Supplement in the bill pattern for the Judiciary Section, Office of the Comptroller of Public Accounts, in Senate Bill No. 1, Acts of the 79th Legislature, Regular Session, 2005, is replaced with the following name and description: Constitutional County Judge General Revenue and Judicial Fund No. 573 Supplement: Salary Supplement per Government Code, Section 26.006. Estimated.
- i. An amount estimated to be \$469, 125 in fiscal year 2006 and an amount estimated to be \$748,800 in fiscal year 2007 are included in amounts appropriated out of the Judicial Fund No. 573 to the Judiciary Section, Office of the Comptroller of Public Accounts, by Subsection (a) of this section for additional district courts created on or after September 1, 2005, by Senate Bill 1189, 79th Legislature, Regular Session, 2005.

RECAPITULATION - ARTICLE IV THE JUDICIARY (General Revenue)*

For the Years Ending August 31, August 31, **2**006 2007 Supreme Court of Texas 5,597,179 5,597,179 \$ Court of Criminal Appeals 4.230.436 4,230,435 First Court of Appeals District, Houston 2,953,601 2,953,599 Second Court of Appeals District, Fort Worth 2,288,557 2,288,557 Third Court of Appeals District, Austin 2,027,760 2,027,760 Fourth Court of Appeals District, San Antonio 2,196,952 2,196,951 Fifth Court of Appeals District, Dallas 4,025,865 4,025,864 Sixth Court of Appeals District, Texarkana 1,101,049 1,101,048 1,436,899 Seventh Court of Appeals District, Amarillo 1,436,899 Eighth Court of Appeals District, El Paso 1,118,799 1,118,800 Ninth Court of Appeals District, Beaumont 1,407,146 1,407,146 Tenth Court of Appeals District, Waco 1,120,878 1,120,878 Eleventh Court of Appeals District, Eastland 1,130,091 1,130,091 Twelfth Court of Appeals District, Tyler 1,125,127 1,125,127 Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi-Edinburg 2.025.598 2.025.598 Fourteenth Court of Appeals District, Houston 2,947,814 2,947,814 Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial 7,040,199 6,399,370 Contingency Appropriations_ 10,000 10,000 7,050,199 6,409,370 Total Office of the State Prosecuting Attorney 341,024 341,022 State Law Library 764,077 764,078 State Commission on Judicial Conduct 829,881 829,881 Judiciary Section, Comptroller's Department 78,707,810 73,641,953 119,360,885 Subtotal, Judiciary 123,785,907 Retirement and Group Insurance 47,296,328 49,701,589 Social Security and Benefit Replacement Pay 8,113,731 8,291,551 Subtotal, Employee Benefits_ 55,410,059 \$ 57,993,140 Lease Payments 2,498,229 2,495,750 TOTAL, ARTICLE IV - THE JUDICIARY_ 177,269,173 184,274,797

^{*}Senate Bill 1 Conference Committee Report (Seventy-ninth Regular Session) appropriation figures have been adjusted to incorporate certain Article IX appropriations into relevant agency bill patterns, as well as Governor's vetoes, House Bill 10 (Seventy-ninth Regular Session), House Bill 1 (Seventy-ninth First Called Session), House Bill 11 (Seventy-ninth Second Called Session) and other miscellaneous bills. For specific adjustments, please consult agency bill patterns.

RECAPITULATION - ARTICLE IV THE JUDICIARY (General Revenue - Dedicated)*

For the Years Ending August 31, August 31, 2006 2007 Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council 15,107,093 \$ 13,627,091 Subtotal, Judiciary_ 15,107,093 13,627,091 Retirement and Group Insurance 55.183 57.620 Social Security and Benefit Replacement Pay 30,331 30,792 Subtotal, Employee Benefits_ 85,514 \$ 88,412 TOTAL, ARTICLE IV - THE JUDICIARY___ 15,192,607 \$ 13,715,503

^{*}Senate Bill 1 Conference Committee Report (Seventy-ninth Regular Session) appropriation figures have been adjusted to incorporate certain Article IX appropriations into relevant agency bill patterns, as well as Governor's vetoes, House Bill 10 (Seventy-ninth Regular Session), House Bill 1 (Seventy-ninth First Called Session), House Bill 11 (Seventy-ninth Second Called Session) and other miscellaneous bills. For specific adjustments, please consult agency bill patterns.

RECAPITULATION - ARTICLE IV THE JUDICIARY (Federal Funds)*

	For the Years Ending		
	August 31, 2006		August 31, 2007
Retirement and Group Insurance Social Security and Benefit Replacement Pay	\$	31,767 15,979	\$ 33,149 16,282
Subtotal, Employee Benefits	\$	47,746	\$ 49,431
TOTAL, ARTICLE IV - THE JUDICIARY	\$	47,746	\$ 49,431

^{*}Senate Bill 1 Conference Committee Report (Seventy-ninth Regular Session) appropriation figures have been adjusted to incorporate certain Article IX appropriations into relevant agency bill patterns, as well as Governor's vetoes, House Bill 10 (Seventy-ninth Regular Session), House Bill 1 (Seventy-ninth First Called Session), House Bill 11 (Seventy-ninth Second Called Session) and other miscellaneous bills. For specific adjustments, please consult agency bill patterns.

RECAPITULATION - ARTICLE IV THE JUDICIARY (Other Funds)*

For the Years Ending August 31, August 31, 2006 2007 18,597,333 Supreme Court of Texas 10.058.500 9,998,088 Court of Criminal Appeals 9,217,255 First Court of Appeals District, Houston 182,233 273,350 Second Court of Appeals District, Fort Worth 142,033 213,050 Third Court of Appeals District, Austin 121,933 182,900 Fourth Court of Appeals District, San Antonio 142,033 213,050 Fifth Court of Appeals District, Dallas 393,950 262,633 92,450 Sixth Court of Appeals District, Texarkana 61,633 Seventh Court of Appeals District, Amarillo 81,733 122,600 Eighth Court of Appeals District, El Paso 61,633 92,450 Ninth Court of Appeals District, Beaumont 81,733 122,600 Tenth Court of Appeals District, Waco 61,633 92,450 Eleventh Court of Appeals District, Eastland 61,633 92,450 Twelfth Court of Appeals District, Tyler Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus 92,450 61,633 Christi-Edinburg 121,933 182,900 Fourteenth Court of Appeals District, Houston 182,233 273,350 Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial 5,889,802 5,889,803 Council State Law Library 41,100 41,100 Judiciary Section, Comptroller's Department 38,819,305 43,642,250 Subtotal, Judiciary 74,972,290 71,288,908 Retirement and Group Insurance 1,632,965 1,659,898 Social Security and Benefit Replacement Pay 70,372 70,634 Subtotal, Employee Benefits 1,703,337 1.730.532 Less Interagency Contracts____ 8,368,579 \$ 8,368,579 TOTAL, ARTICLE IV - THE JUDICIARY_____ 68,307,048 64,650,861

^{*}Senate Bill 1 Conference Committee Report (Seventy-ninth Regular Session) appropriation figures have been adjusted to incorporate certain Article IX appropriations into relevant agency bill patterns, as well as Governor's vetoes, House Bill 10 (Seventy-ninth Regular Session), House Bill 1 (Seventy-ninth First Called Session), House Bill 11 (Seventy-ninth Second Called Session) and other miscellaneous bills. For specific adjustments, please consult agency bill patterns.

RECAPITULATION - ARTICLE IV THE JUDICIARY (All Funds)*

	For the Years Ending			
		August 31, 2006		August 31, 2007
Supreme Court of Texas Court of Criminal Appeals First Court of Appeals District, Houston Second Court of Appeals District, Fort Worth Third Court of Appeals District, Austin Fourth Court of Appeals District, San Antonio Fifth Court of Appeals District, Dallas Sixth Court of Appeals District, Texarkana Seventh Court of Appeals District, Amarillo Eighth Court of Appeals District, El Paso Ninth Court of Appeals District, Beaumont Tenth Court of Appeals District, Waco Eleventh Court of Appeals District, Eastland Twelfth Court of Appeals District, Tyler Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi-Edinburg Fourteenth Court of Appeals District, Houston	\$	24,194,512 14,228,524 3,135,834 2,430,590 2,149,693 2,338,985 4,288,498 1,162,682 1,518,632 1,180,432 1,488,879 1,182,511 1,191,724 1,186,760 2,147,531 3,130,047	\$	15,655,679 13,447,690 3,226,949 2,501,607 2,210,660 2,410,001 4,419,814 1,193,498 1,559,499 1,211,250 1,529,746 1,213,328 1,222,541 1,217,577 2,208,498 3,221,164
Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council Contingency Appropriations Total		28,037,094 10,000 28,047,094		25,916,264 10,000 25,926,264
Office of the State Prosecuting Attorney State Law Library State Commission on Judicial Conduct Judiciary Section, Comptroller's Department		341,024 805,177 829,881 112,461,258		341,022 805,178 829,881 122,350,060
Subtotal, Judiciary	\$	209,440,268	\$	208,701,906
Retirement and Group Insurance Social Security and Benefit Replacement Pay		49,016,243 8,230,413		51,452,256 8,409,259
Subtotal, Employee Benefits	\$	57,246,656	\$	59,861,515
Lease Payments		2,498,229		2,495,750
Less Interagency Contracts	\$	8,368,579	\$	8,368,579
TOTAL, ARTICLE IV - THE JUDICIARY	\$	260,816,574	\$	262,690,592
Number of Full-Time-Equivalents (FTE)		1,339.4		1,339.4

^{*}Senate Bill 1 Conference Committee Report (Seventy-ninth Regular Session) appropriation figures have been adjusted to incorporate certain Article IX appropriations into relevant agency bill patterns, as well as Governor's vetoes, House Bill 10 (Seventy-ninth Regular Session), House Bill 1 (Seventy-ninth First Called Session), House Bill 11 (Seventy-ninth Second Called Session) and other miscellaneous bills. For specific adjustments, please consult agency bill patterns.